

Scott Smullen - NOAA Federal

From: Scott Smullen - NOAA Federal
Sent: Monday, September 9, 2019 8:38 PM
To: Danny Hajek
Cc: julie.roberts@noaa.gov; christopher.vaccaro@noaa.gov; Dalia Mortada; Jessica Deahl; Bridget Kelley; Catherine Mailhouse
Subject: Re: NPR Press Inquiry: Craig McLean / Dr. Neil Jacobs

Hi Danny,

You will have to call Commerce Public Affairs about the NYT story accusations. publicaffairs@doc.gov or 202-482-4883.

About the scientific integrity issue, we feel Craig's email speaks for itself and no further elaboration is needed. Craig does not want to do interviews. However, this related statement may be of some help. You can attribute it to me. Thanks -Scott

"NOAA's policies on [scientific integrity](#) and [communications](#) are among the strongest in the federal government, and get high marks from third party observers. The agency's senior career leaders are free to express their opinions about matters of agency operations and science. The agency will not be providing further official comment, and will not speculate on internal reviews."

Here is a copy of Craig's email:

From: Craig McLean - NOAA Federal <craig.mclean@noaa.gov>
Subject: Hurricane Dorian and Exceptional Service
Date: September 8, 2019 at 9:55:45 PM PDT

Dear Colleagues,

The fierce storm we know as Hurricane Dorian has concluded its ferocious path through the Bahamas and along the US East Coast. Many of you have contributed to the excellent science that has underpinned the forecasts and current understanding of storms such as this one, which accelerated quite rapidly in intensity. The storm also presented challenges in track which improved with enhanced observations. We know that our collective work, from the scientists in the aircraft penetrating the storm, to the scientists deploying the glider picket line, to the modelers and folks working the physics of the storms, across OAR and in our CI's, and across all NOAA Lines, we are working the problem in order to give the NWS forecasters the best tools we possibly can to keep America and our neighbors safe. Thank you.

During the course of the storm, as I am sure you are aware, there were routine and exceptional expert forecasts, the best possible, issued by the NWS Forecasters. These are remarkable colleagues of ours, who receive our products, use them well, and provide the benefit of their own experience in announcing accurate forecasts accompanied by the distinction of all credible scientists -- they sign their work. As I'm sure you also know, there was a complex issue involving the President commenting on the path of the hurricane. The NWS Forecaster(s) corrected any public misunderstanding in an expert and timely way, as they should. There followed, last Friday, an unsigned press release from "NOAA" that inappropriately and incorrectly contradicted the NWS forecaster. My understanding is that this intervention to contradict the forecaster was not based on science but on external factors including reputation and appearance, or simply put, political. Our NOAA Scientific Integrity Policy and Code of Scientific Conduct make clear that all NOAA employees shall approach all scientific activities with honesty, objectively, and completely, without allegiance to individuals, organizations, or ideology. The content of this press release is very concerning as it compromises the ability of NOAA to convey life-saving information necessary to avoid substantial and specific danger to public health and safety. If the public cannot trust our information, or we debase our forecaster's warnings and products, that specific danger arises.

You know that the value of our science is in the complexity of our understanding, our ability to convey

that understanding to a wide audience of users of this information, and to establish and sustain the public trust in the truth and legitimacy of that information. Unfortunately, the press release of last Friday violated this trust and violated NOAA's policies of scientific integrity. In my role as Assistant Administrator for Research, and as I continue to administratively serve as Acting Chief Scientist, I am pursuing the potential violations of our NOAA Administrative Order on Scientific Integrity. Thankfully, we have such policies that are independently cited as among the best in the federal community, if not the best. Your NOAA and OAR management and leadership team believes in these policies and principles. I have a responsibility to pursue these truths. I will.

Thank you for your continued excellent work, and your trust. Carry on.

Craig

-

Craig N. McLean
Assistant Administrator
Oceanic and Atmospheric Research
National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration
U.S. Department of Commerce

On Mon, Sep 9, 2019 at 6:01 PM Danny Hajek <DHajek@npr.org> wrote:

Dear Julie, Scott and Chris,

My name is Danny Hajek and I'm a producer at National Public Radio (NPR). I hope you're doing well.

I'm reaching out about setting up an interview with either acting chief scientist Craig McLean or Dr. Neil Jacobs on NPR. We'd like to discuss how NOAA responded to President Trump's claims that Hurricane Dorian posed a threat to Alabama, and how Secretary of Commerce Wilbur Ross allegedly threatened to fire top employees of the agency for contradicting Trump's statements.

This would be a LIVE interview tomorrow on one of our flagship shows, *Morning Edition* or *All Things Considered*. We can schedule tomorrow morning at 6:30am ET or 7:00am ET, or a time later in the afternoon. I'm cc'ing my colleagues working across shifts here to ensure we see your response at any time of day.

Thank you for your time and consideration. I look forward to hearing back.

Best,

Danny

|

--

Scott Smullen
Deputy Director
NOAA Communications
202-482-1097 o / (b)(6) c