Rick Lumpkin - NOAA Federal

From: Rick Lumpkin - NOAA Federal

Sent: Monday, September 9, 2019 12:25 PM

To: Craig McLean - NOAA Federal

Subject: Re: Hurricane Dorian and Exceptional Service

Thank you, Craig. You exemplify leadership and make us proud to be in OAR.

Rick

On 9/9/19 12:55 AM, Craig McLean - NOAA Federal wrote:

> Dear Colleagues,

>

- > The fierce storm we know as Hurricane Dorian has concluded its
- > ferocious path through the Bahamas and along the US East Coast. Many
- > of you have contributed to the excellent science that has underpinned
- > the forecasts and current understanding of storms such as this one,
- > which accelerated guite rapidly in intensity. The storm also
- > presented challenges in track which improved with enhanced
- > observations. We know that our collective work, from the scientists
- > in the aircraft penetrating the storm, to the scientists deploying the
- > glider picket line, to the modelers and folks working the physics of
- > the storms, across OAR and in our CI's, and across all NOAA Lines, we
- > are working the problem in order to give the NWS forecasters the best
- > tools we possibly can to keep America and our neighbors safe. Thank you.
- >
- > During the course of the storm, as I am sure you are aware, there were
- > routine and exceptional expert forecasts, the best possible, issued by
- > the NWS Forecasters. These are remarkable colleagues of ours, who
- > receive our products, use them well, and provide the benefit of their
- > own experience in announcing accurate forecasts accompanied by the
- > distinction of all credible scientists -- they sign their work. As
- > I'm sure you also know, there was a complex issue involving the
- > President commenting on the path of the hurricane. The NWS
- > Forecaster(s) corrected any public misunderstanding in an expert and
- > timely way, as they should. There followed, last Friday, an unsigned
- > press release from "NOAA" that inappropriately and incorrectly
- > contradicted the NWS forecaster. My understanding is that this
- > intervention to contradict the forecaster was not based on science but
- > on external factors including reputation and appearance, or simply
- > put, political. Our NOAA Scientific Integrity Policy and Code of
- > Scientific Conduct make clear that all NOAA employees shall approach
- > all scientific activities with honesty, objectively, and completely,
- > without allegiance to individuals, organizations, or ideology. The
- > content of this press release is very concerning as it compromises the
- > ability of NOAA to convey life-saving information necessary to avoid

```
> substantial and specific danger to public health and safety. If the
> public cannot trust our information, or we debase our forecaster's
> warnings and products, that specific danger arises.
>
> You know that the value of our science is in the complexity of our
> understanding, our ability to convey that understanding to a wide
> audience of users of this information, and to establish and sustain
> the public trust in the truth and legitimacy of that information.
> Unfortunately, the press release of last Friday violated this trust
> and violated NOAA's policies of scientific integrity. In my role as
> Assistant Administrator for Research, and as I continue to
> administratively serve as Acting Chief Scientist, I am pursuing the
> potential violations of our NOAA Administrative Order on Scientific
> Integrity. Thankfully, we have such policies that are independently
> cited as among the best in the federal community, if not the best.
> Your NOAA and OAR management and leadership team believes in these
> policies and principles. I have a responsibility to pursue these
> truths. I will.
>
> Thank you for your continued excellent work, and your trust. Carry on.
>
> Craig
>
> --
> Craig N. McLean
> Assistant Administrator
> Oceanic and Atmospheric Research
```

> National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration > U.S. Department of Commerce > 1315 East West

Highway > Silver Spring, MD 20910 > Office:301-713-2458