
From: Brittany Cunningham - NOAA Affiliate <brittany.cunningham@noaa.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, April 10, 2019 10:39 AM
To: Garwin Yip - NOAA Federal
Subject: Re: ROC on LTO--DWR additional edits on aquatic weed removal

I think the edits I need to make to the existing analysis I did are fairly minor to incorporate the new info (I had already assumed a lot of these things). I should be able to do it very quickly. I would guess it would delay me about a day in starting things for ITS.

I know Susan just inserted my text into Jeff's larger analysis yesterday. Is that the document I should be editing?

Brittany

On Wed, Apr 10, 2019 at 10:16 AM Garwin Yip - NOAA Federal <garwin.yip@noaa.gov> wrote:
Brittany,

Can you take a quick look at the attached and see if you can put together an analysis of effects in no time flat to include in the draft ROC on LTO BiOp? If "yes," what may you be sacrificing regarding your time? ITS?

We'll need to get back to Reclamation with a "yes" or "no." Thanks.

-Garwin-

Garwin Yip
Water Operations and Delta Consultations Branch Chief
NOAA Fisheries West Coast Region
U.S. Department of Commerce
California Central Valley Office
650 Capitol Mall, Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814
Office: 916-930-3611
Cell: 916-716-6558
FAX: 916-930-3629
www.westcoast.fisheries.noaa.gov



----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Pinero, Janice** <jpintero@usbr.gov>
Date: Tue, Apr 9, 2019 at 5:34 PM
Subject: DWR additional edits on aquatic weed removal
To: <howard.brown@noaa.gov>
Cc: Garwin Yip - NOAA Federal <Garwin.Yip@noaa.gov>, Luke Davis <ldavis@usbr.gov>

Hi Howard,

Per my voicemail, attached are the edits that DWR is proposing to the Aquatic Weed Removal section of the proposed action. We wanted to consult with you on whether it would be ok to add this language to the proposed action. We did not want to surprise you or cause additional workload so please let us know if there are any concerns. USFWS service did not have any concerns with the added language.

We do not plan to conduct any additional effects analyses, so please factor this when letting us know whether is ok or not to add this language as part of the revisions to the BA proposed action. Including this would hopefully eliminate the need of annual letters to determine if the application is ok, like we have been doing in past years.

Take care, Janice

--

Janice Piñero
Conservation and Conveyance Chief
Bay-Delta Office
Bureau of Reclamation
801 I Street Suite 140
Sacramento CA 95814-2536
office: 916-414-2428
work cel: 916-247-8298
jpinero@usbr.gov

--

Brittany Cunningham
Natural Resource Specialist

Contractor with Lynker Technologies
NOAA Fisheries West Coast Region
[650 Capitol Mall, Suite 5-100](#)
[Sacramento, CA 95814](#)
Office: (916) 930-3606
brittany.cunningham@noaa.gov