

## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

NATIONAL MARINE FISHERIES SERVICE Southwest Region 501 West Ocean Boulevard, Suite 4200 Long Beach, California 90802-4213

In response reply to: 2008/09022

JUN - 4 2009

Mr. Donald Glaser Regional Director Mid-Pacific Region U.S. Bureau of Reclamation 2800 Cottage Way, MP-3700 Sacramento, California 95825-1898

Dear Mr. Glaser:

This document transmits NOAA's National Marine Fisheries Service's (NMFS) final biological opinion and conference opinion (Opinion, enclosure 1) based on NMFS review of the proposed long-term operations of the Central Valley Project and State Water Project (hereafter referred to as CVP/SWP operations) in the Central Valley, California, and its effects on listed anadromous fishes and marine mammal species, and designated and proposed critical habitats, in accordance with section 7 of the Endangered Species Act (ESA) of 1973, as amended (16 U.S.C. 1531 et seq.). This final Opinion is based on information provided in the Bureau of Reclamation's (Reclamation) October 1, 2008, transmittal letter and biological assessment (BA), discussions between NMFS and Reclamation staff, declarations filed pursuant to Pacific Coast Federation of Fishermen Association et al. v. Gutierrez et al. 1:06-cv-245-OWW-GSA (E.D. Cal. 2008), comments received from Reclamation, peer review reports from CALFED and the Center for Independent Experts, and an extensive literature review completed by NMFS staff. A complete administrative record of this consultation is on file at the NMFS Sacramento Area Office.

Based on the best available scientific and commercial information, NMFS' final Opinion concludes that the CVP/SWP operations are likely to jeopardize the continued existence of Federally listed:

- Endangered Sacramento River winter-run Chinook salmon (Oncorhynchus tshawytscha),
- Threatened Central Valley spring-run Chinook salmon (O. tshawytscha),
- Threatened Central Valley steelhead (O. mykiss),
- Threatened Southern Distinct Population Segment (DPS) of North American green sturgeon (*Acipenser medirostris*), and
- Southern Resident killer whales (Orcinus orca).

NMFS also concludes that the proposed action is likely to destroy or adversely modify the designated critical habitats of:

• Sacramento River winter-run Chinook salmon,



- Central Valley spring-run Chinook salmon, and
- Central Valley steelhead, and
- proposed critical habitat for the Southern DPS of North American green sturgeon.

The final Opinion concludes that the CVP/SWP operations are not likely to jeopardize the continued existence of Central California Coast steelhead (O. mykiss).

The conference opinion concerning proposed critical habitat for Southern DPS of North American green sturgeon does not take the place of a biological opinion under section 7(a)(2) of the ESA unless and until the conference opinion is adopted as a biological opinion when the proposed critical habitat designation for the Southern DPS of North American green sturgeon becomes final. Adoption may occur if no significant new information is developed, and no significant changes to the project are made that would alter the contents, analyses, or conclusions of this Opinion.

Take of threatened green sturgeon is currently not prohibited by Section 9 of the ESA. When the rule proposed on May 21, 2009 (74 FR 23822) under section 4(d) of the ESA becomes effective as a final rule, all take of threatened green sturgeon not in conformance with that rule will be prohibited under the ESA. Upon the effectiveness of the final green sturgeon take rule, compliance with this Incidental Take Statement provides exemption for take under section 7(o).

The ESA provides that if NMFS has reached a jeopardy or adverse modification conclusion, it must identify a reasonable and prudent alternative (RPA) to the proposed action that is expected to avoid the likelihood of jeopardy to the species and adverse modification of designated and proposed critical habitat, if such an alternative action can be offered. NMFS includes with this Opinion a RPA that we believe meets all four regulatory requirements, as set forth in 50 CFR 402.02. This has been a very challenging consultation for our agencies due to its complexity, long-term nature, and importance to the people of California and the resources we are required to manage. NMFS and Reclamation have had extensive discussions on the preparation of the BA, the draft Opinion, and the draft RPA, and while NMFS understands that Reclamation may have reservations with portions of the Opinion, NMFS understands that it is a package that Reclamation can accept. Because this is a jeopardy Opinion, Reclamation is required (402.15(b)) to notify NMFS "...of its final decision on the action." NMFS, therefore, requests that Reclamation provide NMFS with timely notification as to your agency's final decision.

Also enclosed are Essential Fish Habitat (EFH) Conservation Recommendations for Pacific Coast Salmon species, as required by the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act (MSFCMA) as amended (16 U.S.C. 1801 et seq.; enclosure 2). NMFS EFH analysis concludes that the CVP/SWP operations will adversely affect EFH for Pacific Coast Salmon species in the action area. The RPA that was developed for the ESA-listed salmon was designed to avoid jeopardy and adverse modification for those species but it also has substantial benefits to Pacific salmon EFH, and commercially valuable Central Valley fall-run Chinook salmon. Pursuant to the MSFCMA, Conservation Recommendations are also provided to further reduce adverse effects on EFH.

I want to express my sincere appreciation to you and to your staff for their professionalism and commitment to find a solution that comports with our various Federal mandates. You have my commitment that NMFS will continue to be close partner with Reclamation, CA Department of Water Resources, CA Fish and Game, and US Fish and Wildlife Service as we embark on implementation. I also look forward to continuing our participation with Reclamation, partner agencies and stakeholders in the Bay Delta Conservation Planning effort, a very important action to boost habitat improvements in the Delta and counterbalance some of the aging infrastructure limitations. If you have any questions regarding this consultation, please contact Mr. Garwin Yip, of my staff, at (916) 930-3611 or via e-mail at garwin.yip@noaa.gov.

Sincerely,

Rodney R. McInnis Regional Administrator

Rodney & MEdinis

## Enclosures:

Enclosure 1: Biological and conference opinion on the long-term operations of the Central Valley Project and State Water Project

Appendix 1: Project Description

Appendix 2: Supporting documents for the RPA

Appendix 3: Fall-run and late fall-run Chinook salmon analysis

Appendix 4: Responses to CALFED peer review recommendations

Appendix 5: Technical memorandum for the San Joaquin actions

Enclosure 2: EFH Conservation Recommendations

cc: Copy to file ARN: 151422SWR2004SA9116

NMFS-PRD, Long Beach, CA

Ron Milligan, Reclamation, 3310 El Camino Avenue, Suite 300, Sacramento, CA 95821

Lester Snow, CA DWR Don Koch, CA DFG Ren Lohoefener, FWS