Sent: Wednesday, February 20, 2019 1:51 PM

To: Howard.Brown; Garwin Yip - NOAA Federal; Cathy Marcinkevage; Evan Sawyer - NOAA

Affiliate; Sarah Gallagher - NOAA Federal

Cc: Maria Rea

Subject: Re: Anderson 2017

I should have said "the Anderson model may be significantly underestimating **mortality**" (correction in bold -- I said "survival" in my original e-mail.) Thanks to Evan for that important catch!

--

On Wed, Feb 20, 2019 at 12:53 PM Barbara Byrne - NOAA Federal < <u>barbara.byrne@noaa.gov</u>> wrote: Subject paper attached.

I think Reclamation is likely using "Model II" (beginning on p. 4). Note that on p. 5, it says "Model II only allows mortality at a single critical DOY and age, which are characterized by the lag (4). In reality, temperature-dependent mortality might occur on any day, as is assumed in model I, but studies considered in the discussion suggest mortality occurs in a limited range of days about a mean critical age or over several distinct developmental stages, e.g. egg hatch and maximum total dry mass (MTDM) (Rombough 1994, Rombough 2007)."

I think this means that the Anderson model is completely insensitive to temps outside the critical age window. In the real world, eggs can die outside the critical age window, especially if temps are high outside that window, and the Anderson model may be significantly underestimating survival.

__

Barb Byrne

Fish Biologist

NOAA Fisheries West Coast Region
U.S. Department of Commerce
Office: 916-930-5612

barbara.byrne@noaa.gov
California Central Valley Office
650 Capitol Mall, Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814



Find us online

www.westcoast.fisheries.noaa.gov



--

Barb Byrne

Fish Biologist

NOAA Fisheries West Coast Region
U.S. Department of Commerce
Office: 916-930-5612
barbara.byrne@noaa.gov

California Central Valley Office 650 Capitol Mall, Suite 5-100 Sacramento, CA 95814



Find us online

www.westcoast.fisheries.noaa.gov





