
From: Gard, Mark <mark_gard@fws.gov>
Sent: Monday, April 1, 2019 8:05 AM
To: Sarah Gallagher - NOAA Federal
Subject: Re: [EXTERNAL] Re: Interpretation of WUA

Yes I think that's what they are doing - either that or they are using some other units than ft² - I had 10,739 ft² at 200 cfs before gravel additions, and they have 7948. Units aren't m², because that would be too much even with gravel additions.

Mark Gard Ph.D., PE 40701
Fish and Wildlife Biologist
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
Anadromous Fish Restoration Program
850 South Guild Avenue, Suite 105
Lodi, CA 95240-3170
Phone: Mon, Fri (209) 334-2968 x 410; Tues, Wed, Thur (916) 799-0534
Fax: (209) 334-2171

On Thu, Mar 28, 2019 at 12:31 PM Sarah Gallagher - NOAA Federal <sarah.gallagher@noaa.gov> wrote:
Actually, now that I look at it, they may be running the spring run spawning before you redid the curves with increases spawning habitat from injection gravel? Only saying 8,000 or less WUA for spring run spawning.

Sarah Gallagher | Fish Biologist
NOAA Fisheries | West Coast Region
U.S. Department of Commerce
650 Capitol Mall, Suite 5-100, Sacramento, CA 95814
916-930-3712 | Sarah.Gallagher@noaa.gov

On Thu, Mar 28, 2019 at 12:17 PM Sarah Gallagher - NOAA Federal <sarah.gallagher@noaa.gov> wrote:
Based on your sythesis report for Clear Creek, does it appear the proposed flows for clear creek (same as now 200cfs oct-june; 150 cfs june-Oct) would provide the habitat needs for steelhead nnd spring-run (see attached spreadsheet)? I think it looks llike it is more than recommended in the synthesis report, but would appreciate your interpretation or thoughts if you have a moment.

Sarah Gallagher | Fish Biologist
NOAA Fisheries | West Coast Region
U.S. Department of Commerce
650 Capitol Mall, Suite 5-100, Sacramento, CA 95814
916-930-3712 | Sarah.Gallagher@noaa.gov