

---

**From:** Sarah Gallagher - NOAA Federal <sarah.gallagher@noaa.gov>  
**Sent:** Monday, April 1, 2019 1:57 PM  
**To:** Gard, Mark  
**Subject:** Re: [EXTERNAL] Re: Interpretation of WUA

Ok, that is what I thought. I think that Table 6 in your report gives a good range of WUA for the species for range of population sizes. I will assume Reclamation's runs for spring-run spawning are low due to using curves pre injection gravel. I also think that critical year types have a higher chance for less habitat based on running 150 cfs in those years. Thanks for your help as I work through effects section of biop.

**Sarah Gallagher | Fish Biologist**

*NOAA Fisheries | West Coast Region*

*U.S. Department of Commerce*

650 Capitol Mall, Suite 5-100, Sacramento, CA 95814

916-930-3712 | [Sarah.Gallagher@noaa.gov](mailto:Sarah.Gallagher@noaa.gov)

On Mon, Apr 1, 2019 at 1:46 PM Gard, Mark <[mark\\_gard@fws.gov](mailto:mark_gard@fws.gov)> wrote:

No - only redid spring-run curves because initial values showed was below need. There's so much steelhead spawning habitat, since they also spawn in the lower alluvial reach, that wasn't an issue.

Mark Gard Ph.D., PE 40701

Fish and Wildlife Biologist

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service

Anadromous Fish Restoration Program

850 South Guild Avenue, Suite 105

Lodi, CA 95240-3170

Phone: Mon, Fri (209) 334-2968 x 410; Tues, Wed, Thur (916) 799-0534

Fax: (209) 334-2171

On Mon, Apr 1, 2019 at 12:58 PM Sarah Gallagher - NOAA Federal <[sarah.gallagher@noaa.gov](mailto:sarah.gallagher@noaa.gov)> wrote:

Thanks Mark, I think they using ft<sup>2</sup>. Did you redo the curves for steelhead spawning?

**Sarah Gallagher | Fish Biologist**

*NOAA Fisheries | West Coast Region*

*U.S. Department of Commerce*

650 Capitol Mall, Suite 5-100, Sacramento, CA 95814

916-930-3712 | [Sarah.Gallagher@noaa.gov](mailto:Sarah.Gallagher@noaa.gov)

On Mon, Apr 1, 2019 at 8:05 AM Gard, Mark <[mark\\_gard@fws.gov](mailto:mark_gard@fws.gov)> wrote:

Yes I think that's what they are doing - either that or they are using some other units than ft<sup>2</sup> - I had 10,739 ft<sup>2</sup> at 200 cfs before gravel additions, and they have 7948. Units aren't m<sup>2</sup>, because that would be too much even with gravel additions.

Mark Gard Ph.D., PE 40701  
Fish and Wildlife Biologist  
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
Anadromous Fish Restoration Program  
850 South Guild Avenue, Suite 105  
Lodi, CA 95240-3170  
Phone: Mon, Fri (209) 334-2968 x 410; Tues, Wed, Thur (916) 799-0534  
Fax: (209) 334-2171

On Thu, Mar 28, 2019 at 12:31 PM Sarah Gallagher - NOAA Federal <[sarah.gallagher@noaa.gov](mailto:sarah.gallagher@noaa.gov)> wrote:  
Actually, now that I look at it, they may be running the spring run spawning before you redid the curves with increases spawning habitat from injection gravel? Only saying 8,000 or less WUA for spring run spawning.

**Sarah Gallagher | Fish Biologist**  
*NOAA Fisheries | West Coast Region*  
*U.S. Department of Commerce*  
650 Capitol Mall, Suite 5-100, Sacramento, CA 95814  
916-930-3712 | [Sarah.Gallagher@noaa.gov](mailto:Sarah.Gallagher@noaa.gov)

On Thu, Mar 28, 2019 at 12:17 PM Sarah Gallagher - NOAA Federal <[sarah.gallagher@noaa.gov](mailto:sarah.gallagher@noaa.gov)> wrote:  
Based on your sythesis report for Clear Creek, does it appear the proposed flows for clear creek (same as now 200cfs oct-june; 150 cfs june-Oct) would provide the habitat needs for steelhead nnd spring-run (see attached spreadsheet)? I think it looks llike it is more than recommended in the synthesis report, but would appreciate your interpretation or thoughts if you have a moment.

**Sarah Gallagher | Fish Biologist**  
*NOAA Fisheries | West Coast Region*  
*U.S. Department of Commerce*  
650 Capitol Mall, Suite 5-100, Sacramento, CA 95814  
916-930-3712 | [Sarah.Gallagher@noaa.gov](mailto:Sarah.Gallagher@noaa.gov)