
From: Cathy Marcinkevage - NOAA Federal <cathy.marcinkevage@noaa.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, March 26, 2019 9:41 AM
To: Evan Sawyer - NOAA Federal
Cc: Eric Danner - NOAA Federal
Subject: Re: 7DADM v. DAT

Hold up, I think I have something on this that Dave did on CWF. I can dig it up later this morning. It was basically a conversion from one to another at specific locations. I'd that what you need?

Cathy Marcinkevage
California Central Valley Office
NOAA Fisheries West Coast Region
U.S. Department of Commerce
Office: (916) 930-5648
Cell: (562) 537-8734
cathy.marcinkevage@noaa.gov

On Mar 26, 2019, at 9:34 AM, Evan Sawyer - NOAA Federal <evan.sawyer@noaa.gov> wrote:

Hey Eric,

Can you provide a "correction" to compare DAT against 7DADM criteria or thresholds?

I'm doing my best to assess temperature effects and Reclamation has used the 2003 EPA region 10 water quality criteria as thresholds for various effects. The problem is that they then use the 7DADM criteria to assess modeled average daily temperatures.

The simpler the correction the better (for me), but if it's helpful/more exact the time period I'm looking at is May 15 - October 31, and the locations are Clear Creek (CCR), Balls Ferry (BSF), and RBDD (RDB).

Thanks

--

Evan Bing Sawyer,
Natural Resource Management Specialist
NOAA Fisheries West Coast Region
U.S. Department of Commerce
Office: (916) 930-3656
Evan.Sawyer@noaa.gov
www.westcoast.fisheries.noaa.gov

