
From: J. Stuart - NOAA Federal (Google Docs) <d+MTAzNzY3NjkzNTI4ODM2ODQ1MTlw-MTA1OTg5NTA3NDc1MDgzMDE1ODE1@docs.google.com>
Sent: Monday, November 26, 2018 2:18 PM
To: evan.sawyer@noaa.gov
Subject: 20181116_ROC Proposed Action_NOAA_(internal)

J. Stuart - NOAA Federal added comments to [20181116_ROC Proposed Action_NOAA_\(internal\)](#)

New

4 comments

New

Comments

 **J. Stuart - NOAA Federal**

during

As well as Folsom and New Melones.

ReplyOpen

 **J. Stuart - NOAA Federal**

Plant

Which would necessitate an evaluation of additional loss through CCFB based on the timing and frequency of use.

ReplyOpen

 **J. Stuart - NOAA Federal**

Implementation of the COA principles has continuously evolved since 1986 as changes have occurred to CVP and SWP facilities,

Should probably lead with this narrative in the beginning of this section to avoid confusion.

ReplyOpen

 **J. Stuart - NOAA Federal**

while maintaining each project's annual water supplies.

Isn't this more accurately stated as meeting water demands to the extent possible as constrained by the current state water decision requirements, as well as any other applicable laws and regulations (i.e. ESA)? These narratives appear to be very skewed towards only water operations without any constraints.

ReplyOpen

Google LLC, 1600 Amphitheatre Parkway, Mountain View, CA 94043, USA

You have received this email because you are a participant in the updated discussion threads. [Change what Google Docs sends you.](#) You can not reply to this email.

Google™