
From: J. Stuart - NOAA Federal (Google Docs) <d+MTAzNzY3NjkzNTI4ODM2ODQ1MTlw-MTA1OTg5NTA3NDc1MDgzMDE1ODE1@docs.google.com>
Sent: Monday, November 26, 2018 4:04 PM
To: evan.sawyer@noaa.gov
Subject: 20181116_ROC Proposed Action_NOAA_(internal)

J. Stuart - NOAA Federal added comments to [20181116_ROC Proposed Action_NOAA_\(internal\)](#)

New

3 comments

New

Comments



J. Stuart - NOAA Federal

survival

Should also note that 5 of the 6 years were drought years with low flows. Only 2011 was a "wet year" with high flows. Caution is advised in over interpreting the results to represent other water year types.

ReplyOpen



J. Stuart - NOAA Federal

Buchanan, 2018

Which Buchanan report? the 2014 study year or the 2015 study year?

ReplyOpen



J. Stuart - NOAA Federal

but flows, or rather, flow and stage does.

Low SJ River flows, as seen during the drought years, combined with increasing tidal stage, redirected fish into the Old River channel when no barrier was present. Also increased rate of loss for fish within the Old River route once past the HOR junction

ReplyOpen

Google LLC, 1600 Amphitheatre Parkway, Mountain View, CA 94043, USA

You have received this email because you are a participant in the updated discussion threads. [Change what Google Docs sends you.](#) You can not reply to this email.

