
From: Cathy Marcinkevage - NOAA Federal <cathy.marcinkevage@noaa.gov>
Sent: Thursday, February 28, 2019 2:43 PM
To: Evan Sawyer - NOAA Federal
Subject: Re: Adaptive management discussion tomorrow

oh shoot I just got my response to maria and forgot about this.....I may follow up with some of your points.

On Thu, Feb 28, 2019 at 12:12 PM Evan Sawyer - NOAA Federal <evan.sawyer@noaa.gov> wrote:
Trying to figure out the acronym you used? Is that the appendix C Reconsultation Adaptive Process(?)

If you need me to weigh in on key points I can. My opinion:

- App C only applies to "site-specific" PA components (there is no agreement to a long-term adaptive process... other than reconsultation?)
- Reclamation (Dave) seems willing to provide the necessary sideboards for those site-specific (or is it Core?) components? <-- this is good for what they want (us hands off) but leaves a lot "off-the-table"
- Given the limited description of many of the action components (specifically the programmatic components), a plan to address that uncertainty is absolutely necessary.
- Kaylee referenced a difference between an AM plan/program and a Programmatic Framework but the way they were described sounded similar enough to me that I think we want the same thing? --> a program or framework that identifies a process for addressing the gaps in understanding (in a way that avoids jeopardy)
- Brooke described Dave's circular logic of: Proposed conservation measures --> if they can't be adequately described to show a benefit in the jeopardy analysis --> Reclamation will remove from the PA --> if removed from the PA --> there's even less certainty that those conservation measures will be implemented/funded (Dave's words: "why would we") --> without the conservation measures what's left is only the "worst case" in terms of effects --> that "worst case" is what necessitates the proposed conservation measures --> ...back to the beginning.
- CDFW does not have the option for a "programmatic" ITP --> which is why they must have an AMP.

Probably others...
Evan

On Thu, Feb 28, 2019 at 11:25 AM Cathy Marcinkevage - NOAA Federal <cathy.marcinkevage@noaa.gov> wrote:
All --

I'm on this. May multitask during our 11:30 ;).

FWIW, Charlotte just told me that Josh and all want to present ROC BA monitoring and AM at the IEP directors meeting later in March. Like, present appendix C (for CRAP). So they are going at this from many angles.

Cathy

On Thu, Feb 28, 2019 at 11:18 AM Maria Rea - NOAA Federal <maria.rea@noaa.gov> wrote:

Howard tells me adaptive management will be the focus of the Directors call tomorrow. I'm happy to be a strong advocate for what we need.

Can one of you send me:

- the five agency adaptive management plan (appended to CWF)
- cut and paste of CWF terms and conditions re: adaptive management
- Reclamation PA cite on adaptive management - - is there something other than what is in PA, which I have with me?

Sorry I won't be in office between now and the call; let me know if any key points you want me to raise.

Thanks,
Maria

Sent from my iPad

--

Evan Bing Sawyer,
Natural Resource Management Specialist
NOAA Fisheries West Coast Region
U.S. Department of Commerce
Office: (916) 930-3656
Evan.Sawyer@noaa.gov
www.westcoast.fisheries.noaa.gov

