
From: Cathy Marcinkevage - NOAA Federal <cathy.marcinkevage@noaa.gov>
Sent: Thursday, April 25, 2019 10:16 PM
To: Evan Sawyer - NOAA Federal
Subject: Re: Shasta Review and Revisions

For completeness and redundancy in memory, I addressed your second bullet by swapping out the spawning figure for rearing. Check it off the list!

On Mon, Apr 22, 2019 at 9:54 AM Evan Sawyer - NOAA Federal <evan.sawyer@noaa.gov> wrote:
Hi Cathy,

I've revised where I was able and responded to comments to provide additional explanation. Couple of things:

- WUA can be weird where at the lowest flows (3,250) there can be a "peak" of WUA units, which essentially describes the habitat carrying capacity.
- In discussion of the I&S tables with Brian I noticed that I had used WR spawning WUA (which shows a low flow "peak" at 3,250) when I had intended to use Rearing WUA (which has a low flow peak closer to ~5,000 cfs).
 - *When I have the time* I plan to go back and make sure that the WUA relationships are correct for all species.

Evan

On Sun, Apr 21, 2019 at 11:11 PM Cathy Marcinkevage - NOAA Federal <cathy.marcinkevage@noaa.gov> wrote:

Evan --

I'm working on some Shasta review. I've pulled out a few pages that have comments I need your help in addressing. I've highlighted them in yellow in the attached excerpt.

Can you switch gears for a bit on Monday morning and address these? I thought this time would work well b/c I will be in Monday morning meetings anyhow. I think that they are straightforward for those in the know (you) but not necessarily me. You can comment on this doc and send back. I'll incorporate the changes into a version I have...version control is so fun....

Let me know if you have any questions!

Thanks -
Cathy

--

Evan Bing Sawyer,
Natural Resource Management Specialist
NOAA Fisheries West Coast Region
U.S. Department of Commerce
Office: (916) 930-3656

Evan.Sawyer@noaa.gov
www.westcoast.fisheries.noaa.gov

