From: Brian Ellrott - NOAA Federal <bri>deral <bri>deral <brian.ellrott@noaa.gov>

Sent: Wednesday, May 1, 2019 10:08 AM **To:** Rosalie del Rosario - NOAA Federal

Cc: Joe Heublein; Cathy Marcinkevage - NOAA Federal; Susan_Boring@fws.gov

Subject: Re: table suggestion

Makes sense to me! Probably best to do that in the final formatting phase or at least after we're definitely done messing with content so we don't have to merge, then unmerge if we're adding or subtracting a stressor.

cc'ing Cathy and Susan here so that can track this.

On Wed, May 1, 2019 at 10:02 AM Rosalie del Rosario - NOAA Federal < rosalie.delrosario@noaa.gov > wrote:

Hi Joe and Brian,

Good conversation yesterday. I wanted to mention another recommendation to help focus the reader on the impacts from PA.

For the I&S table where one "Action Component" has multiple Stressors (e.g., cold water pool management, DCC, etc), I'd recommend merging the cells for the Action Component column, but maintain separate rows for each Stressor, etc. You'd have to merge the columns for Division too, but the rest of the rows would stay separate.

It was nicely illustrated in Evan's table of winter run effects for Barry's briefing -- if you're looking for an example.

Recommend this approach for all components with more than one stressor. It shows that one action component has many stressors...

I'll make the suggestion in the I&S Table, but just wanted to share with you cause I forgot to mention it when we talked yesterday.

Thanks, Rosalie

Rosalie B. del Rosario, Ph.D.
Regional Endangered Species Act Advisor
NOAA Fisheries West Coast Region
U.S. Department of Commerce
Office: (562) 980-4085
Rosalie.delRosario@noaa.gov
www.westcoast.fisheries.noaa.gov



--

Brian Ellrott

Central Valley Salmonid Recovery Coordinator NOAA Fisheries West Coast Region U.S. Department of Commerce Mobile: 916-955-7628

Office: 916-930-3612 brian.ellrott@noaa.gov