
From: Evan Sawyer - NOAA Federal <evan.sawyer@noaa.gov>
Sent: Sunday, December 2, 2018 8:27 PM
To: Howard Brown - NOAA Federal; Garwin Yip - NOAA Federal; Cathy Marcinkevage - NOAA Federal; Sarah Gallagher - NOAA Federal; Barbara Byrne - NOAA Federal; J. Stuart; Brian Ellrott
Cc: Maria Rea - NOAA Federal
Subject: ROC on LTO Adaptive Mgmt.
Attachments: ROC on LTO AMF_201801112.docx

Hi All,

Just want to give an update from the ROC on LTO meeting on Friday (11/30).

Attendance room: Me, Katrina Harrison, Josh Israel, Stephanie Theis, Matt Nobriga, Carl Wilcox, Chris Wilkinson, Catherine McCalvin,
On the phone: Garwin, Gardner Jones, Ted Sommer, Brook Jacobs, Louise Conrad,

At the beginning of the meeting Reclamation provided a draft of their “Adaptive management implementation framework” (attached, action item to review). I reiterated that (especially given the timeline) a “new” adaptive management program would be difficult to adequately develop. And I asked that everyone “think” about the role that AM will play in ROC since the way it's presented in the draft PA is to provide "water supply benefit". This is different than during the CWF consultation where AM addressed the uncertainty in design and operations. So, while it was discussed there remains a lot of confusion about the role of an adaptive management plan/program in ROC. Carl suggested reviewing the "BDCP decision tree?" Also when asked "why not use the CWF AMP?" Josh described that the CWF AMP is “light” on implementation details, and their AM has a more detailed implementation strategy (relying heavily on SDM). Josh says that they’ve laid out more of the roles of the different groups doing the adaptive management.

Together we outlined some of the topics needing further discussion in the coming weeks:

- Everyone at the meeting needs to review Reclamation's AM plan and provide comments on the plan and integration with the CWF AM Plan.
 - Describe the roles and responsibilities for the different teams (watershed monitoring teams) in the Reclamation AMP and compare to the CWF AMP.
 - Review existing programs (CSAMP, IEP etc.) and how they interact with CWF AM or ROC AM.
 - Describe the prioritization process of AM actions (more detailed description of SDM?)
 - Synthesis (not well described in the CWF AMP) when does it happen and by whom? - a 5 years cycle?
- Role of AM in the PA? Is it relied on for consultation? Is the timing project specific, programmatic, or both?

Next steps for discussion at meeting next Tuesday:

- review of document (I plan to try and integrate the two, with the ROC AMP fitting in the “scoping” of the CWF AMP)

- review “roles and responsibilities” for the different teams and any overlap with CWF AMP. Also look at coordination with existing programs.

If anyone has any comments let me know.

Thanks,

Evan

--

Evan Bing Sawyer,

Natural Resource Management Specialist

NOAA Fisheries West Coast Region

U.S. Department of Commerce

Office: (916) 930-3656

Evan.Sawyer@noaa.gov

www.westcoast.fisheries.noaa.gov

