From: Dan Lawson - NOAA Federal <dan.lawson@noaa.gov>

Sent: Thursday, May 2, 2019 2:24 PM **To:** J. Stuart - NOAA Federal

Cc: Garwin Yip - NOAA Federal; Barbara Byrne; Howard Brown; Cathy Marcinkevage

Subject: Re: Update on SRKW reviews

Thank you Jeff

I have a revised updated version of the SRKW effects analysis that addresses most all comments (have not added recommended graph labels yet) and relevant additions/changes from the updated productivity analysis from Hannon. I'm going to clean it up and send to Penny/Chris for their input now. Barring any additional changes/input, I assume it's otherwise entering the review process early next week?

Dan

On Mon, Apr 29, 2019 at 11:22 AM J. Stuart - NOAA Federal <<u>j.stuart@noaa.gov</u>> wrote: Morning Dan,

I reviewed your section and it is on the share drive at: R:\Draft BiOp\2_ESA\2.5-2.6 Effects of the Action\Effects on SRKW\SRKW effects analysis V2. Please take a look.

Cheers,

Jeff

On Fri, Apr 26, 2019 at 8:16 AM Garwin Yip - NOAA Federal < garwin.yip@noaa.gov > wrote: Dan.

Jeff will be reviewing the SRKW analysis...eventually. Stay tuned.

-Garwin-

Garwin Yip

Water Operations and Delta Consultations Branch Chief

NOAA Fisheries West Coast Region

U.S. Department of Commerce

California Central Valley Office

650 Capitol Mall, Suite 5-100

Sacramento, CA 95814 Office: 916-930-3611 Cell: 916-716-6558 FAX: 916-930-3629

www.westcoast.fisheries.noaa.gov



----- Forwarded message -----

From: Dan Lawson - NOAA Federal <dan.lawson@noaa.gov>

Date: Fri, Apr 26, 2019 at 8:05 AM Subject: Re: Update on SRKW reviews

To: Barbara Byrne - NOAA Federal < <u>barbara.byrne@noaa.gov</u>> Cc: Garwin Yip - NOAA Federal < <u>garwin.yip@noaa.gov</u>>

Thank you for the heads-up. I'll be standing by to hear from someone, although I will probably go back in and at least work through comments you had in the interim. I did plop the ITS language that I have drafted in the ITS document on the ROC drive, but it's generally awaiting some discussion of exactly how we can/should measure the extent of Chinook take as a proxy for SRKW take.

Dan

On Thu, Apr 25, 2019 at 12:51 PM Barbara Byrne - NOAA Federal < barbara.byrne@noaa.gov > wrote: Dan -- FYI, the SRKW Effects and I&S sections have been reassigned so I can focus on responding to edits on my Stanislaus/San Joaquin River effects section (and you don't just getting bumped down my list). Not sure what the current ETA is for further feedback on those sections.

I have flagged to Garwin that the reviewer should keep an eye out for potential "legacy language" related to elements of the '09 project description from the in-progress I&S sections you had available.

I have also mentioned that, eventually, we will need to update both John's and your docs to reflect the assumed restoration acreage (and associated Chinook production) once we have a final determination on acreage in the PA. My tentative understanding is that both the Sacramento and American River acreages are in the baseline, and that just 10-15 acres is assumed reasonably certain to occur in the PA for the Stanislaus, which (assuming 56 adults/acre)=560-840 Chinook.

Cheers, Barb

--

Barb Byrne

Fish Biologist

NOAA Fisheries West Coast Region
U.S. Department of Commerce
Office: 916-930-5612

barbara.byrne@noaa.gov
California Central Valley Office
650 Capitol Mall, Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814



Find us online www.westcoast.fisheries.noaa.gov



--

Dan Lawson NMFS Protected Resources Division West Coast Region 7600 Sand Point Way NE, Bldg 1 Seattle WA 98115 206-526-4740

--

Jeffrey S. Stuart, M.S. Fishery Biologist

NOAA Fisheries West Coast Region U.S. Department of Commerce California Central Valley Office 650 Capitol Mall, Suite 5-100 Sacramento, CA 95814-4706

Office: 916-930-3607 J.Stuart@noaa.gov



Find us online www.westcoast.fisheries.noaa.gov



--

Dan Lawson NMFS Protected Resources Division West Coast Region 7600 Sand Point Way NE, Bldg 1 Seattle WA 98115 206-526-4740