
From: Cathy Marcinkevage - NOAA Federal <cathy.marcinkevage@noaa.gov>
Sent: Friday, April 12, 2019 10:20 AM
To: Barbara Byrne
Subject: Fwd: 7DADM v. DAT
Attachments: PagesCWF BA App_5.D_Methods.pdf

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Cathy Marcinkevage - NOAA Federal <cathy.marcinkevage@noaa.gov>
Date: Tue, Mar 26, 2019 at 10:16 AM
Subject: Re: 7DADM v. DAT
To: Evan Sawyer - NOAA Federal <evan.sawyer@noaa.gov>
Cc: Eric Danner - NOAA Federal <eric.danner@noaa.gov>

Check this out, from CWF BA App D, but provided by Dave/NMFS to Rec in development of the CWF BA. Note especially the last page.

On Tue, Mar 26, 2019 at 9:35 AM Evan Sawyer - NOAA Federal <evan.sawyer@noaa.gov> wrote:
Hey Eric,

Can you provide a "correction" to compare DAT against 7DADM criteria or thresholds?

I'm doing my best to assess temperature effects and Reclamation has used the 2003 EPA region 10 water quality criteria as thresholds for various effects. The problem is that they then use the 7DADM criteria to assess modeled average daily temperatures.

The simpler the correction the better (for me), but if it's helpful/more exact the time period I'm looking at is May 15 - October 31, and the locations are Clear Creek (CCR), Balls Ferry (BSF), and RBDD (RDB).

Thanks

--

Evan Bing Sawyer,
Natural Resource Management Specialist
NOAA Fisheries West Coast Region
U.S. Department of Commerce
Office: (916) 930-3656
Evan.Sawyer@noaa.gov
www.westcoast.fisheries.noaa.gov

