
From: Brian Ellrott - NOAA Federal <brian.ellrott@noaa.gov>
Sent: Thursday, April 18, 2019 6:26 AM
To: Yip, Garwin; Cathy Marcinkevage - NOAA Federal; Joe Heublein
Subject: ROC LTO - suggestions related to the I&S tables

Garwin,
Thanks for the voicemail. Here are the things Joe and I talked about:

1. Rows should be separated so that beneficial aspects of an action component appear on one row and adverse effects of that same component appear on a different row. Right now, some of those cases are combined and confusing.
2. Every row / stressor should have a narrative in the effects section even if it's just few sentences. If we don't have time to provide that narrative, then the associated row should be deleted from the table.
3. We may need to revise the analytical approach to define and incorporate high medium and low rankings for beneficial factors. Our AA was developed for stressors, and doesn't apply to beneficial actions.

Joe,
Were there other ones that I missed?

All,
I'm thinking we can talk about these things on the call this afternoon, and then I can send an email to the division leads with the guidance. Sound good?

Brian

--

Brian Ellrott
Central Valley Salmonid Recovery Coordinator
NOAA Fisheries West Coast Region
U.S. Department of Commerce
Mobile: 916-955-7628
Office: 916-930-3612
brian.ellrott@noaa.gov