## General approach

## Method A -- Use value from Harvey and Stroble 2013

Estimate of wild spring-run as percent of spring-run-sized fish 2|Based on Harvey and Stroble 2013, Table 5c, which shows that $98 \%$ of spring


Estimate of spring-run-sized (both wild spring-run and spring-run-sized hatcł $3,321,850$ Based on wild spring-run low estimate
$5,721,850$ Based on wild spring-run high estimate
|Estimate of wild spring-run as percent of spring-run-sized fish
3 Based on wild spring-run low estimate
44 Based on wild spring-run high estimate

## Summary of production goals for fall-run hatchery fish released in river

Table 7 from John's SRKW prey analysis, includes all hatchery releases

$\left.$| Hatchery annual Chinook <br> releases | General <br> goal | Proportion bay |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | | Proportion in- |
| :--- |
| river |$\quad$| Number in- |
| :--- |
| river | \right\rvert\,

J-run-sized fish were not genetic spring-run ( $95 \%$ genetic fall-run, $1 \%$ genetic winter-run, and $2 \%$ ge। em in cell A 14, discovered simpler method A.
analysis; number based on RBDD-to-Delta survival of 0.5028 in BY 2018 JPE letter) ching the Delta (from both Sac and SJ basins)
late of unmarked hatchery fall-run reaching the Delta -om Harvey and Stroble 2013, Table 5b.)
e spring-run-sized = estimate of unmarked spring-run-sized hatchery fall-run reaching the Delta late linking WR escapement to JPE and SR escapement to a "SR JPE")

רery fall-run) fish reaching the Delta

| Fall-run In-river <br> releases only |
| :--- |
|  |
| $12,000,000$ |
|  |
|  |
| $1,800,000$ |
|  |
| $2,680,000$ |
| $1,500,000$ |
| 300,000 |
| $18,280,000$ |

netic late-fall-run).

Spring-run table

| Water <br> Yeartype | Predicted <br> loss under <br> COS | Predicted loss <br> under PA | PA-COS | \% <br> change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wet | 125,972 | 270,759 | 144,788 | 115 |
| Above Normal | 75,124 | 199,562 | 124,438 | 166 |
| Below Normal | 20,859 | 43,781 | 22,922 | 110 |
| Dry | 48,347 | 88,278 | 39,931 | 83 |
| Critical | 23,917 | 42,325 | 18,408 | 77 |

Above table multiplied by 0.02 to adjusted to remove unmarked hatchery fish and other wild fish from o Spring-run table -- adjusted

| Water <br> Yeartype | Predicted <br> loss under <br> COS | Predicted loss <br> under PA | PA-COS | \% <br> change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wet | 2,519 | 5,415 | 2,896 | 115 |
| Above Normal | 1,502 | 3,991 | 2,489 | 166 |
| Below Normal | 417 | 876 | 458 | 110 |
| Dry | 967 | 1,766 | 799 | 83 |
| Critical | 478 | 847 | 368 | 77 |


| CV spring-run Chinook salmon |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Month | Predicted <br> loss under <br> COS | Predicted <br> loss under <br> PA | PA-COS | \% <br> change |
| October | 31 | 45 | 15 | 48 |
| November | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
| December | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
| January | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
| February | 879 | 919 | 39 | 4 |
| March | 27,504 | 25,787 | $-1,717$ | -6 |
| April | 64,198 | 168,313 | 104,115 | 162 |
| May | 31,710 | 74,038 | 42,328 | 133 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1,650 | 1,657 | 7 | 0 |
| June |  |  |  | 0 |
| July | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
| August | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
| September | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |

Adjusted to reflect wilc

| CV spring- |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Month | Predicte <br> d loss <br> under <br> COS |
| October | 1 |
| November | 0 |
| December | 0 |
| January | 0 |
| February | 18 |
| March | 550 |
| April | 1,284 |
| May | 634 |
|  | 33 |
| June |  |
| July | 0 |
| August | 0 |
| September | 0 |

Total
2,519
\% of 100,000
3
$\%$ of 660,000
0
$\pm$ SR (table at left multiplied by 0.02 to adjusted to remove unmarked hatchery fish and other wild fish fr

| -run Chinook salmon |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Predicte <br> d loss <br> under <br> PA | PA-COS | \% <br> change |
| 1 | 0 | 48 |
| 0 | 0 | -- |
| 0 | 0 | -- |
| 0 | 0 | -- |
| 18 | 1 | 4 |
| 516 | -34 | -6 |
| 3,366 | 2,082 | 162 |
| 1,481 | 847 | 133 |
|  |  |  |
| 33 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | -- |
| 0 | 0 | -- |
| 0 | 0 | -- |

5,415
om other runs)

