

---

**From:** Barbara Byrne - NOAA Federal <barbara.byrne@noaa.gov>  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 16, 2019 5:03 PM  
**To:** Naseem Alston - NOAA Federal  
**Cc:** Brian Ellrott; Cathy Marcinkevage; Joe Heublein - NOAA Federal  
**Subject:** Re: CHN-SRKW-Restoration-SIT model

I talked to John Hannon about the difference between escapement estimates and in-the-ocean; he calculates the in-the-ocean assuming a 55% harvest rate; so e.g.  $0.55x=840$  results in  $x=1,527$ . The right-most column in the top restoration table should read "annual increase in escapement", not "New fish in ocean annually".

On Thu, May 16, 2019 at 4:55 PM Naseem Alston - NOAA Federal <[naseem.alston@noaa.gov](mailto:naseem.alston@noaa.gov)> wrote:  
Hi Brian,

I hear you are our resident CVPIA SIT model expert.

Question came up after the call ended today -

the data that Dan is using from John Hannon includes restoration acres which results in 1acre:56 adult returns (from SIT):

over 8,000 more in escapement #s, and over 15,000 more in ocean abundance #s

(see attached excerpt)

Question for you: what do others/we think of the model input (1:56)?

Did others generally agree, is there alternative science?

Other issue is he includes the acres for Sac and American rivers, which I feel we describe as analyzed in the baseline (benefit at the frame-work level only), so it would just leave the Stan...

Naseem O. Alston  
ESA-Section 7 Coordinator/Fish Biologist  
NOAA Fisheries West Coast Region  
U.S. Department of Commerce  
California Central Valley Office  
Sacramento, CA  
(916)930-3655  
<http://www.westcoast.fisheries.noaa.gov/>

--

**Barb Byrne**  
Fish Biologist  
NOAA Fisheries West Coast Region

U.S. Department of Commerce  
Office: 916-930-5612  
[barbara.byrne@noaa.gov](mailto:barbara.byrne@noaa.gov)  
California Central Valley Office  
650 Capitol Mall, Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814



**Find us online**

[www.westcoast.fisheries.noaa.gov](http://www.westcoast.fisheries.noaa.gov)

