

Michelle Havey

From: Michelle Havey
Sent: Wednesday, May 15, 2019 6:52 PM
To: Cathy Marcinkevage - NOAA Federal
Cc: John Ferguson; Howard Brown
Subject: RE: NMFS ROC LTO BiOp Review

Cathy,

I just checked my notes and none of the reviewers indicated they were unavailable in early June (to be fair, I didn't ask them specifically). I will hold off on contacting them until I get the green light from you.

Michelle

Michelle Havey

C 206.683.9199

ANCHOR QEA, LLC

This electronic message transmission contains information that may be confidential and/or privileged work product prepared in anticipation of litigation. The information is intended for the use of the individual or entity named above. If you are not the intended recipient, please be aware that any disclosure, copying, distribution, or use of the contents of this information is prohibited. If you have received this electronic transmission in error, please notify us by telephone at 206.287.9130.

From: Cathy Marcinkevage - NOAA Federal <cathy.marcinkevage@noaa.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, May 15, 2019 6:03 PM
To: Michelle Havey <mhavey@anchorage.com>
Cc: John Ferguson <jferguson@anchorage.com>; Howard Brown <howard.brown@noaa.gov>
Subject: Re: NMFS ROC LTO BiOp Review

Hi Michelle --

Let's hold off for now - the recon you can do from your notes (which I'm sure are simply outdated but better than nothing) is a good start. I hope to know more tomorrow morning.

Thanks!
Cathy

On Wed, May 15, 2019 at 5:59 PM Michelle Havey <mhavey@anchorage.com> wrote:

Hi Cathy,

Never a dull moment :) I will have to check my notes (can do in about an hour) to see if any of the reviewers were constrained by other obligations in early June. I will let you know what I find out tonight. Do you want me to notify the reviewers of this potential shift in the timing, or should I hold off until you have more information?

Thanks,
Michelle

Sent from my iPhone

> On May 15, 2019, at 5:47 PM, Cathy Marcinkevage - NOAA Federal <cathy.marcinkevage@noaa.gov> wrote:
>
> Michelle--
>
> We are hearing from leadership in DC that there is potential to extend the ROC LTO BiOp deadline by two weeks, and with this comes a request that the peer review also be pushed later. There is concern that when the draft sections go to peer review, they also get released to other stakeholders (in alignment with an agreement the services made with the water users and NGOs), and Reclamation is not wholly comfortable with some things in the effects analysis being released for public consumption just yet. This is all very new and developing, but I wanted to explore early whether there was even the potential to have the review occur 1 or 2 weeks later.
>
> I know this is last minute...please don't be angry at the messenger!!! I know that it can also make for "grumpy" reviewers, which is not a good mindset for them to have when embarking upon a review. But it's the potential path right now so I wanted to at least apprise you early so that we can sniff out the possibility. Please let me know what you think of this in terms of feasibility and what we need to address.
>
> Thanks,
> Cathy
>