

---

**From:** Cathy Marcinkevage - N... (Google Slides) <comments-noreply@docs.google.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, April 8, 2019 10:43 PM  
**To:** naseem.alston@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** EnvBaselineFigure... - Having climate change here, and not i...

Cathy Marcinkevage - NOAA Federal replied to a comment in [EnvBaselineFigure bje](#)



**Brian Ellrott - NOAA Federal**

Change

Having climate change here, and not in human impacts implies that we do not characterize climate change as a human impact, so I added slide 6 to fix this. Naseem was concerned that slide 6 (CC as a human impact) could be too controversial. We wanted the group to weigh on this.



**Cathy Marcinkevage - NOAA Federal**

I think we stick with it this way. The regional climate guidance has a good description of climate variability vs. climate change, noting primarily the temporal scale differences. so having them both in the bottom box is capturing two different things. The guidance also cites IPCC In stating that climate change is likely due to human impacts. But if the intent of this figure is to identify the phenomena upon which we layer the project, other projects, etc., it seems right to have CC in the bottom. If the intent of hte figure were to attribute causes, then it would be in the "Human Impacts" box, and "environmental variations" would be more wisely defined as something that occurs in general in time scales of weeks to decades.

**Open**

Google LLC, 1600 Amphitheatre Parkway, Mountain View, CA 94043, USA

You have received this email because you are subscribed to all discussions on [EnvBaselineFigure bje.Change](#) what Google Docs sends you. You can reply to this email to reply to the discussion.

Google™