
From: Cathy Marcinkevage - NOAA Federal <cathy.marcinkevage@noaa.gov>
Sent: Sunday, June 2, 2019 12:49 AM
To: Howard Brown
Cc: Garwin Yip; Naseem.Alston
Subject: Re: What I Could Use for Sunday

Two(ish) more things for HB:

4 (revised). LSNFH broodstock: Barry says to remove completely. I guess this isn't in the PA, so do we want to include with revisions or fully remove?

6. One of the "12 things" was EPA 7DADM conversion. Reclamation to provide text. (Rec had a specific thing they wanted us to include to help explain limitations/caveats to using the DAT to 7DADM conversion, noting that flows influence, and that certain modeling was used). This is not in their Shasta markup, so they should provide it ASAP.

7. One of the "12 things" is "pre-dam baseline stuff" according to my notes (!). I'm not sure what that is! Ideas?

On Sat, Jun 1, 2019 at 11:12 PM Cathy Marcinkevage - NOAA Federal <cathy.marcinkevage@noaa.gov> wrote:

Things I can push to someone else while Garwin and I continue to tackle Shasta:

1. HB or NOA: Review Status of Docs and 1) finalize any that are ready and 2) confirm/deny ones that I said were ready since using the same as going to Rec. Provide filename in tracker. Put in headers/watermarks. COPY to CVP ROCON/PeerReview/ReviewMaterials.

<https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1B70HcqUrY16n19SGcq1Jsj-jtOAgCDrldFz0qtzTyWk/edit?usp=sharing>

2. HB: Put the Note to Reviewers on NMFS Letterhead.
S:\PeerReview\NMFS note to reviewer.v2.docx

3. HB: What about Rec's "Note to Reviewers about Shasta Storage." Any word on receiving that?

4. HB: You were going to draft a blurb to replace the Livingston Stone Broodstock text. Can you provide that?

5. HB: Decide on headers and watermarks. I suggest using same as for Rec distribution, since that is easiest.

All for now.