Cathy Marcinkevage - NOAA Federal

From: Cathy Marcinkevage - NOAA Federal

Sent: Sunday, June 16, 2019 9:57 PM

To: Stephen Maurano - NOAA Federal

Subject: ROC LTO BiOp Comments

Stephen -

On Friday we received comments from the public water agency community on our draft ROC LTO BiOp sections. There are a few specific to the Shasta/Upper Sac temperature text that I'd like your take on.

I'm not sure exactly how we are dealing with all of the comments yet. We can "comment note" some, and I do think we should consider the heavier ones, but I don't feel that we are mandated to revise our text to address all of them. So think of this as a first recon step until the ROC management team has a better handle on all of the comments to figure out our approach to responses (I have a sinking feeling that Rec actually sent us incomplete files since some contained NO comments whatsoever!).

Anyhow, here's the lay of the land:

1. The comments I'd like you to look at are here:

S:\PWA Review\PWA Review Comments\2019 06 12 Draft NMFS EA Ex SLDMWA and WWD Comments.xlsx

Note especially comments 60, 63, 64, 65(?), 66, 67, 71-74 (though I haven't checked to see to what this is referring).

2. The version that the PWAs used is here:

S:\PWA Review\ReviewMaterials\Effects_Upper Sac Effects V17_PeerReview.docx

DO NOT EDIT THIS VERSION. Use this for identifying the location of the text on which the comment is based.

3. The "latest" Shasta/Upper Sac section is here, but I'd hold off on editing anything yet: S:\Draft BiOp\2_ESA\2.5-2.6 Effects of the Action\Shasta Division\2.5 and 2.6 Upper Sac Effects V18 srb.docx

Addressing these and the peer review comments are our priority this week in completing revisions for a final pass through internal QA/QC clearance next week. So any help you can offer in efficiently considering these is super helpful! There will be more instruction as we get a handle on it, but an early start won't hurt.

Definitely let me know if you have any questions!!!

Thanks! Cathy