
From: Naseem Alston - NOAA Federal <naseem.alston@noaa.gov>
Sent: Thursday, April 11, 2019 1:27 PM
To: Rosalie del Rosario - NOAA Federal
Subject: Re: please take a look - EnvB vs Effects (for ROC)

Hi Rosalie,

Thanks for taking a look.

Attached is the the BA's table 4-6 (which they call "conservation measures"), as well as Appendix C of the BA - which starting on page 12 lists the programs of monitoring (that they said is included in the PA).

Naseem O. Alston
ESA-Section 7 Coordinator/Fish Biologist
NOAA Fisheries West Coast Region
U.S. Department of Commerce
California Central Valley Office
Sacramento, CA
(916)930-3655
<http://www.westcoast.fisheries.noaa.gov/>

On Thu, Apr 11, 2019 at 12:53 PM Rosalie del Rosario - NOAA Federal <rosalie.delrosario@noaa.gov> wrote:
tried to call you back a few times. can you please give me a call when you get a chance. Wanting some background for context.

On Thu, Apr 11, 2019 at 12:50 PM Naseem Alston - NOAA Federal <naseem.alston@noaa.gov> wrote:
Hi Rosalie,

As I mentioned to you at the end of our call, attached is the draft "approach" to dealing with Reclamation's desire for "coverage" for all the existing/ongoing restoration and monitoring programs.

The attached is my attempt to describe this approach for both the EnvB as well as Effects of the PA.

The draft includes Garwin's thoughts.

Thanks for offering your thoughts!

Naseem O. Alston
ESA-Section 7 Coordinator/Fish Biologist
NOAA Fisheries West Coast Region
U.S. Department of Commerce
California Central Valley Office
Sacramento, CA

(916)930-3655

<http://www.westcoast.fisheries.noaa.gov/>

--

Rosalie B. del Rosario, Ph.D.

Regional Endangered Species Act Advisor

NOAA Fisheries West Coast Region

U.S. Department of Commerce

Office: (562) 980-4085

Rosalie.delRosario@noaa.gov

www.westcoast.fisheries.noaa.gov

