
From: Cathy Marcinkevage - NOAA Federal <cathy.marcinkevage@noaa.gov>
Sent: Thursday, May 9, 2019 8:53 AM
To: Brian Ellrott - NOAA Federal; Naseem.Alston
Subject: Re: Withdrawal of CWF and ROC Baseline

I think it's ok for today, but as we get our letter to Rec withdrawing our opinion finalized, we should remove it, or insert a sentence reflecting the withdrawal.

On Thu, May 9, 2019 at 4:21 AM Brian Ellrott - NOAA Federal <brian.ellrott@noaa.gov> wrote:
Thanks for checking. Should we delete the CWF blurb in the EB or wait?

On Wed, May 8, 2019 at 11:36 PM Cathy Marcinkevage - NOAA Federal <cathy.marcinkevage@noaa.gov> wrote:

There is a fairly generic blurb on CWF (that will probably now need to be removed) in the EB, but it does not specify anything about AM or restoration.

The SRS is not specific to CWF -- though developed by the state kind of as a result of what we saw happening, it is not wholly tied to it. In theory, DWR should do it for other reasons. But we did point to it in our terms and conditions to say "you will do what you said you will do" because the SRS was referenced in the BA as something to guide restoration action.

I think we are ok. any mention of the SRS in the BiOp or BA is related to guiding restoration and also noting that 2009 RPA actions are now included in the SRS (which makes it doubly important that they get done).

Thanks!

On Wed, May 8, 2019 at 5:44 AM Brian Ellrott - NOAA Federal <brian.ellrott@noaa.gov> wrote:

I defer to Naseem. I'm pretty sure the initial draft EB did not include CWF, but it may have been added later.

Cathy,
The Salmon Resiliency Strategy is independent of CWF, right, or does that go away with the two tunnels?

On Wed, May 8, 2019 at 12:16 AM Cathy Marcinkevage - NOAA Federal <cathy.marcinkevage@noaa.gov> wrote:

Hey EBers ---

You heard the news that CWF is being withdrawn. Starting over. Like it never happened! Not really but actually kind of basically. Rec and DWR are withdrawing their applications, and we are withdrawing our BiOp. And the litigation should go away.

The question from Barry and Maria both is whether this affects the EB of ROC. Operationally, I say def not, since operations wouldn't have started until at least 2030. But wrt the restoration actions, and those types of components of the adaptive management program...do you think it could? I haven't been in the baseline section in a while to know if we point to, for instance, items from the salmon resiliency strategy, or anything that is specific to CWF and would not otherwise move forward in CWF's absence. Do we need to revise at all? If you want ot point me to a section to look myself that is ok -- I thought regardless it would be good to at least chat a short time about any issues.

Thanks!
Cathy

--

Brian Ellrott

*Central Valley Salmonid Recovery Coordinator
NOAA Fisheries West Coast Region
U.S. Department of Commerce
Mobile: 916-955-7628
Office: 916-930-3612
brian.ellrott@noaa.gov*

--

Brian Ellrott

*Central Valley Salmonid Recovery Coordinator
NOAA Fisheries West Coast Region
U.S. Department of Commerce
Mobile: 916-955-7628
Office: 916-930-3612
brian.ellrott@noaa.gov*