
From: Barbara Byrne - NOAA Federal <barbara.byrne@noaa.gov>
Sent: Thursday, May 30, 2019 9:00 AM
To: Cathy Marcinkevage; Garwin Yip - NOAA Federal; Rosario, Rosalie B del; Alston, Naseem
Cc: J. Stuart
Subject: Where and how to capture model descriptions and limitations in ROC BiOp

Thoughts on my suggested resolution to Maria's comment on model descriptions/limitations?

In her recent Delta Effects review, Maria made the following comment (attached to the DPM section; applies generally to modeling results): " Ideally, before reporting each model result we would both describe model, and then qualitatively express pros/cons/uncertainties in each model in a neutral way - - so that reader has some context for how precise and confident the results are. Alternatively, you could have one chart of all models used with this information. Please follow up on this during peer review period ."

My suggested resolution is: " No time to address before peer review, as acknowledged in Maria's comment. My hope/suggestion is that rather than do this throughout the effects section, we can, in the Analytical Approach section, say something like "Model descriptions, and the uncertainties and limitations of each model, are provided in Appendix X, and not necessarily reiterated when models are discussed in the effects sections".

--

Barb Byrne
Fish Biologist
NOAA Fisheries West Coast Region
U.S. Department of Commerce
Office: 916-930-5612
barbara.byrne@noaa.gov
California Central Valley Office
650 Capitol Mall, Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814



Find us online

www.westcoast.fisheries.noaa.gov

