

---

**From:** Barbara Byrne - NOAA Federal <barbara.byrne@noaa.gov>  
**Sent:** Thursday, March 21, 2019 2:50 PM  
**To:** Katrina Harrison  
**Cc:** Garwin Yip - NOAA Federal; Howard.Brown; Cathy Marcinkevage  
**Subject:** Consultation status of monitoring in Appendix C

Katrina -- How does Reclamation see the multitude of monitoring listed in Appendix C as fitting into (or not) into the Proposed Action? Are Reclamation and DWR proposing to fund some or all of it? Are Reclamation and DWR looking to get take coverage for some or all of it in the current ROConLTO consultation? I don't see a component that captures the breadth of Central Valley monitoring in Table 4-6, however, I see that there is very cursory analysis in the effects sections for CV species (see, e.g., p. 5-74 and 5-75).

Most of the monitoring programs listed are currently covered under Section 10 research permits.

Barb

--

**Barb Byrne**  
Fish Biologist  
*NOAA Fisheries West Coast Region*  
*U.S. Department of Commerce*  
Office: 916-930-5612  
[barbara.byrne@noaa.gov](mailto:barbara.byrne@noaa.gov)  
*California Central Valley Office*  
*650 Capitol Mall, Suite 5-100*  
*Sacramento, CA 95814*



Find us online

[www.westcoast.fisheries.noaa.gov](http://www.westcoast.fisheries.noaa.gov)

