
From: Evan Sawyer - NOAA Federal <evan.sawyer@noaa.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, March 6, 2019 9:03 AM
To: Dan Lawson - NOAA Federal
Cc: Cathy Marcinkevage - NOAA Federal; Barbara Byrne - NOAA Federal
Subject: ROC on LTO action area

Hi Dan,

I'm writing the action area and I wanted to ask you: Do you feel that the action area for reinitiation should (or should not) include the WA coast? Does it matter for our(your) analysis?

Cathy said that you have been through this discussion before during WaterFix, and had made the case to include the coast of Washington? Reclamation only extends the the action area to the OR/WA border but the rationale used to define "Coastal Extent" as Point Conception to Cape Falcon (and not further North) relies on Satterthwaite *et al.* (2013). There are two problems with that because the analysis is limited by 1) the recreational fishing season ~April - October 31, and 2) locations where effort data was available (i.e. they did not examine vessels/ports in Washington). Basically Satterthwaite (2013) was designed to not include the WA coast, so to use the study as justification saying that it shows no CV Chinook north of Cape Falcon misleading at best? Does this matter for your analysis?

Thanks,
Evan Sawyer

--

Evan Bing Sawyer,
Natural Resource Management Specialist
NOAA Fisheries West Coast Region
U.S. Department of Commerce
Office: (916) 930-3656
Evan.Sawyer@noaa.gov
www.westcoast.fisheries.noaa.gov

