
From: Seth Naman - NOAA Federal <seth.naman@noaa.gov>
Sent: Monday, March 18, 2019 10:38 AM
To: Garwin Yip - NOAA Federal
Cc: Justin Ly; Cathy Marcinkevage; Barbara Byrne - NOAA Federal; Howard Brown
Subject: Re: NLAA offramp for Pacific Eulachon

Yes I can but it sounds like there is some uncertainty now regarding whether or not BOR is going to consult on the Trinity, so hopefully we can get that cleared up ASAP

On Fri, Mar 15, 2019 at 5:05 PM Garwin Yip - NOAA Federal <garwin.yip@noaa.gov> wrote:
Seth,

That reminds me. If we're going with a NLAA for Pacific eulachon, then we should be offramping it and not need a full-blown analysis. Please put together and send over the NLAA offramp section for Pacific eulachon and their designated critical habitat.

Thanks.

-Garwin-

Garwin Yip

Water Operations and Delta Consultations Branch Chief
NOAA Fisheries West Coast Region
U.S. Department of Commerce
California Central Valley Office
650 Capitol Mall, Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814
Office: 916-930-3611
Cell: 916-716-6558
FAX: 916-930-3629
www.westcoast.fisheries.noaa.gov



On Fri, Mar 15, 2019 at 2:24 PM Barbara Byrne - NOAA Federal <barbara.byrne@noaa.gov> wrote:
Seth -- Thanks for the feedback! We (me, Cathy, and the cc'ed folks) have actually been talking a lot about the CH section and outline yesterday and today. Sharing your suggestion with Cathy, who has the final pen on the outline today....but suspect it IS too late for another revision -- just because of our time crunch and a concern about us all nearing our capacity (speaking for myself!) to absorb more changes...no matter how well justified or reasonable.

How is it going using the stressors from the eulachon/SONCC coho/Green sturgeon recovery plans in your effects analysis? Is it helpful or constraining to have a formal set of stressor "bins" around which to organize your discussion?

Again, really appreciate the feedback; don't get to have the casual breakroom conversation with you and Justin to hear how things are going.

Barb

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Seth Naman - NOAA Federal** <seth.naman@noaa.gov>

Date: Fri, Mar 15, 2019 at 2:07 PM

Subject: document outline

To: Barbara Byrne <Barbara.Byrne@noaa.gov>

Barbara,

I realize it might be too late at this point but I think the critical habitat section would be better suited before the effects to individuals because that's the best way to tell the story and the effects to habitat occur regardless of the presence of individuals. If I start talking about the effects to individuals first and then habitat it just comes out backwards because the effects to individuals are the result of the effects to critical habitat. Just a thought. Thanks,

Seth

--

Seth Naman
Fisheries Biologist
National Marine Fisheries Service
1655 Heindon Rd
Arcata, CA 95521
Voice: 707-825-5180
Fax: 707-825-4840



--

Barb Byrne
Fish Biologist
NOAA Fisheries West Coast Region
U.S. Department of Commerce
Office: 916-930-5612
barbara.byrne@noaa.gov
California Central Valley Office
650 Capitol Mall, Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814



Find us online

www.westcoast.fisheries.noaa.gov



--

Seth Naman
Fisheries Biologist
National Marine Fisheries Service
1655 Heindon Rd
Arcata, CA 95521
Voice: 707-825-5180
Fax: 707-825-4840

