
From: Cathy Marcinkevage - NOAA Federal <cathy.marcinkevage@noaa.gov>
Sent: Monday, April 29, 2019 8:09 AM
To: Eric Danner - NOAA Federal
Cc: Miles Daniels - NOAA Affiliate
Subject: Re: Tier analysis

Hi Eric and Miles --

Ok, I think I get this! Let's go with the 75th percentile. We'll need a little explanation paragraph but that's not urgent. Let's talk later today as piggyback on to the LCM call if that works out.

Thanks!
Cathy

Cathy Marcinkevage
California Central Valley Office
NOAA Fisheries West Coast Region
U.S. Department of Commerce
Office: (916) 930-5648
Cell: (562) 537-8734
cathy.marcinkevage@noaa.gov

On Apr 24, 2019, at 2:54 PM, Eric Danner - NOAA Federal <eric.danner@noaa.gov> wrote:

Hi Cathy,

Here is Miles' first crack at the tier analysis. He used historic temperature data to best represent the conditions throughout the river if targeting specific temperatures at CCR. Thus he provided the three different percentiles (the three rows in the plot). Up to you if you would like to be conservative and just go with 75 (or maybe 90). Only temperatures so far - if this is what you were thinking of, then we can run the mortality models.

Eric

--

Eric Danner, Ph.D.
Supervisory Research Ecologist
Fisheries Ecology Division, Southwest Fisheries Science Center
110 McAllister Way
Santa Cruz, CA 95060
831-420-3917
<http://swfsc.noaa.gov/>

<Example_Time_Series_Plot.png>