
From: Brian Ellrott - NOAA Federal <brian.ellrott@noaa.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, March 5, 2019 12:56 PM
To: Rosalie del Rosario - NOAA Federal
Subject: Re: Draft text for me... - You may have already done this, but I...

Hey, just wanted to let you know that I still haven't looked at the EB Memo since you reviewed it. Trying to focus on the EB section itself to meet the looming deadline and I keep getting pulled in other directions. I'll circle back with you on the memo soon. Hope you're having a good week.

Brian

On Wed, Feb 27, 2019 at 2:41 PM Rosalie del Rosario - NOAA Federal <rosalie.delrosario@noaa.gov> wrote: Maybe more than you wanted, but I'm looking at the memo from the "outside" - not knowing context. Whenever you have time, please feel free to ask for clarification or telling me I'm way off...

On Wed, Feb 27, 2019 at 2:39 PM Brian Ellrott - NOAA Federal <brian.ellrott@noaa.gov> wrote: Hey. Thanks for jumping on this. I see the email notifications about your edits and comments, but have had to focus on Yuba today. I should be able to take a look at the memo tomorrow.

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Rosalie del Rosario - ... (Google Docs) <comments-noreply@docs.google.com>
Date: Wed, Feb 27, 2019 at 2:21 PM
Subject: Draft text for me... - You may have already done this, but I...
To: <brian.ellrott@noaa.gov>

Rosalie del Rosario - NOAA Federal added a comment to [Draft text for memo to file on environmental baseline](#)

Rosalie del Rosario - NOAA Federal

The rationale provided by DOI for why the future without-action condition is the appropriate baseline is based on an interpretation of the 9th Circuit Court of Appeals ruling in National Wildlife Federation v. National Marine Fisheries Service, a case regarding consultation on the effects of operating hydropower dams on the Columbia River. The DOI Solicitors contend that the 9th Circuit's ruling made it clear that the effects of a proposed action (i.e., Columbia River dam operations) cannot be in the environmental baseline, and therefore a without-action condition is needed to represent the environmental baseline for the CVP/SWP BA. However, the 9th Circuit's ruling was made because NMFS constructed an environmental baseline with effects that should have been, but were not, attributed to the proposed action (i.e., Columbia River dam operations). The court's issue was not that the environmental baseline cannot include any past or present impacts which are also part of the proposed...

You may have already done this, but I'd suggest you check with Ryan Couch, GC on FCRPS for confirmation.

Open

Google LLC, 1600 Amphitheatre Parkway, Mountain View, CA 94043, USA



You have received this email because you are subscribed to all discussions on [Draft text for memo to file on environmental baseline](#). [Change what Google Docs sends you](#). You can reply to this email to reply to the discussion.

--

Brian Ellrott

*Central Valley Salmonid Recovery Coordinator
NOAA Fisheries West Coast Region
U.S. Department of Commerce*
Mobile: 916-955-7628
Office: 916-930-3612
brian.ellrott@noaa.gov

--

Rosalie B. del Rosario, Ph.D.

*Regional Endangered Species Act Advisor
NOAA Fisheries West Coast Region
U.S. Department of Commerce*
Office: (562) 980-4085
Rosalie.delRosario@noaa.gov
www.westcoast.fisheries.noaa.gov



--

Brian Ellrott

*Central Valley Salmonid Recovery Coordinator
NOAA Fisheries West Coast Region
U.S. Department of Commerce*
Mobile: 916-955-7628
Office: 916-930-3612
brian.ellrott@noaa.gov