From: Michelle Havey <mhavey@anchorqea.com>

Sent: Monday, May 20, 2019 10:49 AM **To:** Cathy Marcinkevage - NOAA Federal

Cc: John Ferguson

Subject: RE: NMFS ROC LTO BiOp Review

Hi Cathy -

I have received confirmation from all 3 reviewers that they can accommodate the 2-week shift, so no need to look at other reviewers. I'm working on getting the contract change orders in place right now, which will reflect the review period at June 3-June 21 with the kickoff call June 3 or 4 and the interim call to address any questions prior to the reviewers writing up their report June 6, 7, or 10 - 1'm still waiting to hear back from one reviewer on their availability for those calls. I will send you the draft revised contracts as soon as I have them.

Thanks, Michelle

Michelle Havey

C 206.683.9199

ANCHOR QEA, LLC

This electronic message transmission contains information that may be confidential and/or privileged work product prepared in anticipation of litigation. The information is intended for the use of the individual or entity named above. If you are not the intended recipient, please be aware that any disclosure, copying, distribution, or use of the contents of this information is prohibited. If you have received this electronic transmission in error, please notify us by telephone at 206.287.9130.

From: Cathy Marcinkevage - NOAA Federal <cathy.marcinkevage@noaa.gov>

Sent: Monday, May 20, 2019 10:26 AM

To: Michelle Havey <mhavey@anchorqea.com> **Cc:** John Ferguson <jferguson@anchorqea.com>

Subject: Re: NMFS ROC LTO BiOp Review

Hi Michelle --

One change to schedule: I am not as available the morning of June 7. Otherwise, free as previously stated!

Can you let me know the update on the availability of the third reviewer that we had not heard from as of last week? And if that person cannot do the revised time, could we canvass the remaining reviewers who were not originally chosen to see if they are options?

Finally, can you send me a copy of the revised contract? That way I can tell all of our directors the definite dates for when things will happen with this review. Even if it is draft, that is ok.

Thanks! Cathy

On Thu, May 16, 2019 at 3:27 PM Michelle Havey <mhavey@anchorgea.com> wrote:

Cathy,
Thanks for the update. I will notify the reviewers and work with them to get updated contracts and determine new times for the kickoff and interim meetings. Can you let me know what your availability is for call(s) on June 3/4 (1-hr) and June 6/7/10 (2-hr)?
Thanks,
Michelle
Michelle Havey C 206.683.9199
ANCHOR QEA, LLC This electronic message transmission contains information that may be confidential and/or privileged work product prepared in anticipation of litigation. The information is intended for the use of the individual or entity named above. If you are not the intended recipient, please be aware that any disclosure, copying, distribution, or use of the contents of this information is prohibited. If you have received this electronic transmission in error, please notify us by telephone at 206.287.9130.
From: Cathy Marcinkevage - NOAA Federal < <u>cathy.marcinkevage@noaa.gov</u> > Sent: Thursday, May 16, 2019 2:08 PM To: Michelle Havey < <u>mhavey@anchorqea.com</u> >; John Ferguson < <u>iferguson@anchorqea.com</u> > Cc: Howard Brown < <u>howard.brown@noaa.gov</u> > Subject: Re: NMFS ROC LTO BiOp Review
Michelle and John
It's been decided ROC LTO timing has been extended two week. Can you work on any contract modifications and notifications that are required to accommodate this? If it means that we lose a reviewer, that is simply the outcome.
I think that all all dates will simply need to move to 2 weeks later, and that we would make documents available at noon on June 3.
Feel free to contact me for any questions on this!

Thanks!
Cathy
On Wed, May 15, 2019 at 5:47 PM Cathy Marcinkevage - NOAA Federal < cathy.marcinkevage@noaa.gov > wrote:
Michelle
We are hearing from leadership in DC that there is potential to extend the ROC LTO BiOp deadline by two weeks, and with this comes a request that the peer review also be pushed later. There is concern that when the draft sections go to peer review, they also get released to other stakeholders (in alignment with an agreement the services made with the water users and NGOs), and Reclamation is not wholly comfortable with some things in the effects analysis being released for public consumption just yet. This is all very new and developing, but I wanted to explore early whether there was even the potential to have the review occur 1 or 2 weeks later.
I know this is last minuteplease don't be angry at the messenger!!! I know that it can also make for "grumpy" reviewers, which is not a good mindset for them to have when embarking upon a review. But it's the potential path right now so I wanted to at least apprise you early so that we can sniff out the possibility. Please let me know what you think of this in terms of feasibility and what we need to address.
Thanks,
Cathy