
From: Naseem Alston - NOAA Federal <naseem.alston@noaa.gov>
Sent: Friday, May 24, 2019 2:16 PM
To: Evan Sawyer - NOAA Affiliate
Cc: Cathy Marcinkevage; Garwin Yip
Subject: my comments/edits for Shasta

Hi Evan,

I added some comments/edits to the Shasta Effects.

Mostly in the Pulse Flow area.

I want to make sure we aren't burying the benefits of pulse flows (the analysis mostly focuses on the potential impacts), so I provided some suggested text there. I had similar (the same) comments/edits in the CC effects.

IMO - if we focus on the impacts of actions we have negotiated for them to include, we run the risk of them not doing them! If they are better than nothing, but not good enough, or could be better, we can characterize them that way.

My edits/comments were intended to make changes easy, and not create and additional work for you - hopefully that is the case.

Let me know if you have any questions or want to talk about any of it.

Naseem O. Alston
ESA-Section 7 Coordinator/Fish Biologist
NOAA Fisheries West Coast Region
U.S. Department of Commerce
California Central Valley Office
Sacramento, CA
(916)930-3655
<http://www.westcoast.fisheries.noaa.gov/>