
From: Joe Heublein - NOAA Federal <joe.heublein@noaa.gov>
Sent: Friday, May 31, 2019 7:52 PM
To: Howard Brown - NOAA Federal
Cc: Yip', 'Garwin; Naseem Alston - NOAA Federal; Brian Ellrott; Brittany Cunningham - NOAA Affiliate; Cathy Marcinkevage
Subject: Re: Folsom Water Control Manual

Hi Howard,

Kristin White was going to make some additional changes and send it back to us this evening. BOR's primary concern with our American R effects analysis is that we didn't include enough language specifying areas where the PA involves lower modeled temps than the COS. I was fine with all the language that Kristin proposed to add, it provides greater detail on the PA and doesn't change the PA or effects analysis. Another detail that Kristin clarified is that BOR is not proposing to maintain specific temperature standards (e.g., 65 degrees F at Watt from May-Oct), and the modeled temps in the PA are their best estimation of what will happen in the PA between now and 2030. This is an important clarification but also doesn't change our effects analysis.

I still haven't seen an email with BOR's live edits and I probably won't be available to help out tomorrow so someone will have to make those last revisions if we want to stick to the schedule.

-Joe

On Fri, May 31, 2019 at 5:12 PM Howard Brown - NOAA Federal <howard.brown@noaa.gov> wrote:

Thanks Joe,

Just had a chat with Maria about American and she wants to make sure we do all we can to address Reclamation's comments and strategic concerns to get the American cleaned up before Saturday COB.
Howard

On Fri, May 31, 2019 at 4:07 PM Joe Heublein - NOAA Federal <joe.heublein@noaa.gov> wrote:

Hi Howard,

Kristin from reclamation is going to add a couple sentences on this in their surgical edit of the American R effects. We have one reference to 'NMFS 2018' but nothing in the bibliography so that may have something to do with the water control manual BO. Kristin mentioned that looking at historic flow fluctuations is not appropriate with construction of the new spillway but not sure how to characterize that.

More importantly, if the new water control manual covers take associated with flood management should we remove redd dewatering/stranding from the effects and add it to baseline/not consulted on? We'll have to update a bunch of stuff if it's removed from the effects analysis

Thanks

Joe

On Fri, May 31, 2019 at 12:53 PM Howard Brown - NOAA Federal <howard.brown@noaa.gov> wrote:

Joe,

Here is the location of the flood biop. It's long, so I would look at the integration and synthesis and conditions.

M:\SA-FINAL Biological Opinions\2018 - 2018-10-16-Folsom-Dam-And-Lake-Water-Control-Manual-BO-Signed

H

--

Howard L. Brown

Policy Advisor

NOAA Fisheries, West Coast Region

U.S. Department of Commerce

(916) 930-3608

Howard.Brown@noaa.gov



www.westcoast.fisheries.noaa.gov

--

Joe Heublein

California Central Valley Office

650 Capitol Mall, Suite 5-100

Sacramento, CA 95814

Office: 916-930-3719

FAX: 916-930-3629

joe.heublein@noaa.gov

www.westcoast.fisheries.noaa.gov



--

Howard L. Brown

Policy Advisor

NOAA Fisheries, West Coast Region

U.S. Department of Commerce

(916) 930-3608

Howard.Brown@noaa.gov



www.westcoast.fisheries.noaa.gov

--

Joe Heublein
California Central Valley Office
650 Capitol Mall, Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814
Office: 916-930-3719
FAX: 916-930-3629
joe.heublein@noaa.gov
www.westcoast.fisheries.noaa.gov

