|    | NMFS Draft Solutions List   | t - For Discussion Purposes Only, subject to revision:   | June 7, 2019   |  |  |  |   |
|----|---|--|--|--|--|--|---|
| #  | Action  | Action Description   | Key Stressor Addressed by Action   | Biological Rationale   | Cost                                       | Total Estimated Cost   | Source of Action                        |
| 1  | Shasta Operations Performance Objectives                              | Reduce the potential adverse effect of operations on the survival of WR in the upper Sacramento River  | Water temperatures warmer than life<br>stage requirements during egg<br>incubation and fry emergence.                                    | (1) part of ongoing discussion to bound effects related to Shasta Cold Water Pool Management. (2) Winter run Chinook salmon require conditions that prevent frequent high mortality events and support viability of the species despite demands of operations. | Not clear to NMFS                          | Not clear to NMFS  | Ongoing consultation discussion         |
| 2  | Livinston Stone National<br>Fish Hatchery Funding<br>and Improvements | (1) Securing an emergency/alternate water supply when Shasta and Keswick reservoirs reach elevations below the current penstock, (2) Acquiring water chillers to ensure that adequate water temperatures are provided during critical winter-run Chinook salmon life stages (i.e., adult holding, egg incubation, and juvenile rearing), (3) Acquiring more physical space (i.e., round tanks, juvenile rearing tanks, etc.) to adequately rear increased production to help the population withstand the drought and to successfully operate the Captive Broodstock Program, (4) Modifications/improvements to Keswick Dam Fish Trap, (5) Modifications/improvements to Anderson-Cottonwood Irrigation District (ACID) Dam Fish Trap or investigations/assessment of new adult trapping facility/IO-Ongoing monitoring and research | Water temperatures warmer than life stage requirements during egg incubation and fry emergence. Injury and death during adult collection | (1) Supports Collaborative Planning Action by providing specificity to action. (2) Due to numerous stressors, including warm water temperatures and water exports, the ESU is currently dependent on LSNFH production, particularly during drought.            |  | \$6.9 million<br>\$4.200,000 (one time)<br>Water Treatment<br>Facility (Item 6):<br>\$2,500,000 (one<br>time),Monitoring and<br>research \$250,000<br>(annually) | NMFS WIIN Act<br>Hatchery<br>Assessment |
| 3  | Spawning Habitat  | Spawning Habitat Keswick to Red Bluff Diversion Dam;   | Dams block gravel recruitment, low fall  | (1) Supports   |  |  | Supports                                |
| 4  | Upper Sacramento River  | Rearing Habitat Keswick to Red Bluff Diversion Dam;  | Seasonal operations resulting in low   | (1) Supports   |  |  | Supports                                |
| 5  | Sacramento River  | Rearing Habitat Red Bluff Diversion Dam to Verona;   | Seasonal operaitons that result in low   | (1) Supports   |  |  | Supports                                |
| 6  | Sacramento River  | Science coordination and funding   | Water temperatures warmer than life  | Science support to   |  | \$2 million/year   |   |
| 7  | Shasta Reservoir  | Development and Application of Shasta Stratification   | Water temperatures warmer than life  | (1) Supports 4 Tiered  |  |  |   |
| 8  | DWR - Meet and Confer   | DWR (shall) meet and confer on reoperation of Oroville   | Water temperatures warmer than life  | Potentially savings of   |  |  | Links DWR/FERC                          |
| 9  | Battle Creek Actions Battle Creek Actions                             | Implement the Battle Creek Reintroduction Plan   | Water temperatures warmer than life<br>Water temperatures warmer than life   | Collaborative Planning (1) Supports  | \$6 million for four<br>\$740,000 for five | \$24 million<br>\$3.7 million one-time;  | CA Salmon CA Salmon                     |
| 11 | Battle Creek Actions  | Design and construct a fish sorting facility at Coleman  | Water temperatures warmer than life  | (1) Supports   | Multi-phase plan in                        | ~\$13 million  |   |
| 12 | Spring-run tributary<br>Habitat Improvements                          | Passage improvements on Mill Creek at Upper Dam  | Sacramento River and Clear Creek water temperatures warmer than life stage requirements during egg incubation and fry emergence.         | (1) Provides resiliency to<br>spring-run populations,<br>(2) off site-mitigation<br>actions for temperature-<br>related related effects<br>downstream of Shasta<br>and in Clear Creek  |  | ~\$4 million   | CA Salmon<br>Resiliency Strategy        |

|                  |   |  |  |   |  | <br> |
|------------------|---|--|--|---|--|------|
|                  |   |  |  |   |  |      |
| Species          |   |  |  |   |  |      |
|                  |   |  |  |   |  |      |
|                  |   |  |  |   |  |      |
|                  |   |  |  |   |  |      |
|                  |   |  |  |   |  |      |
|                  |   |  |  |   |  |      |
|                  |   |  |  |   |  |      |
|                  |   |  |  |   |  |      |
|                  |   |  |  |   |  |      |
|                  |   |  |  |   |  |      |
|                  |   |  |  |   |  |      |
| WR               |   |  |  |   |  |      |
|                  |   |  |  |   |  |      |
|                  |   |  |  |   |  |      |
|                  |   |  |  |   |  |      |
|                  |   |  |  |   |  |      |
|                  |   |  |  |   |  |      |
|                  |   |  |  |   |  |      |
|                  |   |  |  |   |  |      |
|                  |   |  |  |   |  |      |
|                  |   |  |  |   |  |      |
|                  |   |  |  |   |  |      |
|                  |   |  |  |   |  |      |
|                  |   |  |  |   |  |      |
|                  |   |  |  |   |  |      |
| WR               |   |  |  |   |  |      |
| WR, SR, ST       |   |  |  |   |  |      |
| WR, SR, ST       |   |  |  |   |  |      |
| WR, SR, ST       |   |  |  |   |  |      |
| Mainly WR        |   |  |  |   |  |      |
| Mainly WR        |   |  |  |   |  |      |
| Mainly WR        |   |  |  |   |  |      |
|                  | , |  |  | ' |  |      |
| WR, SR, ST, SRKW |   |  |  |   |  |      |
| WR, SR, ST, SRKW |   |  |  |   |  |      |
| WR, SR, ST, SRKW |   |  |  |   |  |      |
|                  |   |  |  |   |  |      |
|                  |   |  |  |   |  |      |
|                  |   |  |  |   |  |      |
|                  |   |  |  |   |  |      |
|                  |   |  |  |   |  |      |
| CD CT            |   |  |  |   |  |      |
| SR, ST           |   |  |  |   |  |      |

| <b>-</b> |   | l |  |
|----------|---|---|--|
|          |   |   |  |
|          | 1 |   |  |
|          | 1 | 1 |  |
|          | 1 |   |  |
|          | 1 |   |  |
|          | 1 |   |  |
|          | 1 | 1 |  |
|          |   |   |  |
|          |   |   |  |
|          |   |   |  |
|          |   |   |  |
|          |   |   |  |
|          |   |   |  |
|          |   |   |  |
|          |   |   |  |
|          |   |   |  |
|          |   |   |  |
|          |   |   |  |
|          |   |   |  |
|          |   |   |  |
|          |   |   |  |
|          |   |   |  |
|          | 1 | 1 |  |
|          | 1 |   |  |
|          |   | İ |  |
|          |   |   |  |
|          |   |   |  |
|          |   |   |  |
|          | 1 |   |  |
| -        |   | l |  |
|          | 1 | 1 |  |
|          |   |   |  |
|          |   |   |  |
|          |   |   |  |
|          |   | l |  |
|          |   |   |  |
|          |   | I |  |
|          | 1 |   |  |
|          |   |   |  |
|          |   |   |  |
|          |   |   |  |
|          |   |   |  |
|          | 1 |   |  |
|          | 1 | 1 |  |
|          | 1 |   |  |
|          | 1 |   |  |
|          | 1 | 1 |  |
|          | 1 | 1 |  |
|          |   |   |  |
|          | 1 | 1 |  |
|          | 1 | 1 |  |
|          | 1 |   |  |
|          | 1 | 1 |  |
|          |   |   |  |

|    | Spring-run tributary Habitat Improvements  Lower Sacramento River | Passage improvements on Deer Creek at Stanford Vina<br>Dam<br>Restore X amount of floodplain habitat in the lower | Sacramento River and Clear Creek water temperatures warmer than life stage requirements during egg incubation and fry emergence.  Seasonal operations resulting in low   | (1) Provides resiliency to spring-run populations, (2) off site-mitigation actions for temperature-related related effects downstream of Shasta and in Clear Creek  (1) Supports   | ~\$4 million | CA Salmon<br>Resiliency Strategy                      |
|----|---|---|--|--|--------------|---|
| 15 | Knights Landing Outfall   | Fund the repair of KLOG   | Adult straying into the agricultural ditch   | Out-of-kind mitigation to  |              | NCWA action plan,                                     |
|    | Gates   |   | system   | reduce loss of adult WR,<br>SR, ST into the Colusa<br>Basin  |              | Supported by RD-<br>108                               |
|    | Yolo Bypass Actions   | Complete Yolo bypass actions (programmatic)   | Seasonal operations resulting in low winter flows. Loss of natural river morphology. Adult straying into the agricultural ditch system. Loss of floodplain rearing habitat. Low flows limiting rearing habitat quantity and quality. | (1) An increase in floodplain rearing habitat in wet conditions will help offset reductions in rearing habitat quality and quantity associated with low winter flows under the PA and altered Delta hydrodynamics. (2) Out-of-kind mitigation to reduce loss of adult WR, SR, ST into the Colusa Basin |              | CA Salmon<br>Resiliency Strategy;<br>NCWA action plan |
| 17 | Sutter Bypass Actions   | Support Sutter Bypass inundation planning and implementation  | Seasonal operations resulting in low winter flows. Loss of natural river morphology Loss of floodplain rearing habitat. Low flows limiting rearing habitat quantity and quality.   | An increase in floodplain rearing habitat in wet conditions will help offset reductions in rearing habitat quality and quantity associated with low winter flows and altered Delta hydrodynamics under the PA.   |              | CA Salmon<br>Resiliency Strategy                      |
| 18 | Sutter Bypass Actions   | Nigiri North: Floodplain restoration in the lower Sutter<br>Bypass (see CVSHP Project Information Sheet)          | Loss of floodplain rearing habitat. Low flows limiting rearing habitat quantity and quality.   | An increase in floodplain rearing habitat in we conditions will help offset reductions in rearing habitat quality and quantity associated with low winter flows and altered Delta hydrodynamics under the PA.  |              | CV Salmon Habitat<br>Partnership                      |

| SR, ST          |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|-----------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
|                 |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                 |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                 |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WR, SR, ST      |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WIX, SIX, ST    |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                 |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                 |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                 |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                 |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                 |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                 |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                 |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                 |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WR, SR, ST      |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                 |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                 |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                 |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                 |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                 |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                 |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WR, SR, ST      |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vvi v, Oi V, Oi |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                 |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                 |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                 |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                 |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                 |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                 |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SR, ST          | I |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                 |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                 |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |

| 19 |                                | Develop Delta performance objectives to limits effects to post 2009 Biop loss levels at export facilities   | Loss associated with state and federal pumping                   | (1) part of ongoing<br>discussion to bound<br>effects related to Shasta<br>Cold Water Pool<br>Management. |                               |
|----|--------------------------------|---|--|---|-------------------------------|
| 21 |                                | Solidify recent agreements made during Director<br>meetings on DCC operations by incorporating details into<br>the Proposed Action  | Juvenile entrainment into central Delta through DCC              | Minimize juvenile<br>entrainment into central<br>Delta through DCC,<br>improve through-Delta<br>Survival  |                               |
|    | Delta Cross Channel<br>Actions | Complete DCC feasibility study and repair or replace DCC per FS findings  | Juvenile entrainment into central Delta through DCC              | (1) Supports DCC<br>Channel Gate<br>Improvement Action (2)<br>Provide operational                         |                               |
| 23 |                                | Incorporate salmon habitat restoration into Delta smelt<br>habitat action. Restore 11,000 acres of tidal habitat for<br>improved rearing and reduced reverse tidal flows in critical<br>migratory channels. | Loss associated with state and federal pumping                   | Improve through-Delta growth and survival   | Salmon Resiliency<br>Strategy |
| 24 | North Delta Barriers           | Non-physical exclusion Barrier at Georgiana Slough  | Juvenile entrainment into central Delta through Georgiana Slough | Minimize juvenile<br>entrainment into central<br>Delta, improve through-<br>Delta Survival                |                               |
| 25 |                                | DWR Salmon Protection Technology Study<br>proposal for Sutter and Steamboat Sloughs   | Juvenile entrainment into central Delta through Georgiana Slough | Minimize juvenile<br>entrainment into central<br>Delta, improve through-<br>Delta Survival                |                               |
| 26 | HORB                           | install when feasible   | Loss associated with state and federal pumping                   | Minimize juvenile<br>entrainment into South<br>Delta, improve through-<br>Delta Survival                  |                               |
| 27 |                                | VAMP-like 2:1 export for April/May action for 2020/2021 water years only while study plan below is developed:   | Loss associated with state and federal exports                   | Minimize juvenile<br>entrainment into South<br>Delta, improve through-<br>Delta Survival                  |                               |

| WR, SR, ST       |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
|                  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WR, SR, ST, GS   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WR, SR, ST, GS   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WIX, SIX, ST, GS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WR, SR, ST, GS   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WR, SR, ST, GS   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WK, 3K, 31, G3   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WR, SR, ST, GS   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0.7              |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ST               |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ST               |  |  |  |  |  |  |

| 28 | Multi-species Q-west              |  | Loss associated with state and federal                              | Minimize juvenile                            | ı |  |
|----|-----------------------------------|--|---|--|---|--|
| 20 | muni-species w-west               |  | exports   | entrainment into South                       |   |  |
|    |                                   |  | onposito .  | Delta, improve through-                      |   |  |
|    |                                   | Multi species March-May Q-west or VAMP like action;        |   | Delta Survival                               |   |  |
|    |                                   | needs an experimental design with different actions to     |   |  |   |  |
|    |                                   | take place in different conditions. Needs well-funded      |   |  |   |  |
|    |                                   | adaptive management approach. Peer review on design.       |   |  |   |  |
|    |                                   | NMFS consulted on design, selection of contractor, and     |   |  |   |  |
|    |                                   | invited to co-author annual and final reports.             |   |  |   |  |
|    |                                   | Reclamation to create interagency workgroup and            |   |  |   |  |
|    |                                   | develop science experimental alternative that provides     |   |  |   |  |
|    |                                   | similar protection to I:E ratio, protects multiple species |   |  |   |  |
|    |                                   | in Delta during this period, and submit to NMFS for        |   |  |   |  |
| 1  |                                   | review at project specific level. Long-term investment in  |   |  |   |  |
|    |                                   | tagged fish studies as part of this, coupled with the      |   |  |   |  |
|    |                                   | spring-pulse flow actions as part of long-term study       |   |  |   |  |
|    |                                   | through SWFSC. NMFS SWFSC has opportunity to review        |   |  |   |  |
|    |                                   | and advise on experimental design.                         |   |  |   |  |
| 29 | Lower San Joaquin                 | 7  | Loss associated with state and federal                              | Improve through-Delta                        |   |  |
| 23 | Habitat Actions                   |  | exports, Low flows limiting rearing                                 | growth and survival                          |   |  |
|    |                                   |  | habitat quantity and quality. Loss of                               | g  |   |  |
|    |                                   | Restoration of flood plain access and San Luis NWR         | floodplain rearing habitat.   |  |   |  |
| 30 | Lower San Joaquin                 |  | Loss associated with state and federal                              | Improve through-Delta                        |   |  |
|    | Habitat Actions                   |  | exports, Low flows limiting rearing                                 | growth and survival                          |   |  |
|    |                                   | Franks tract or other San Joaquin corridor specific        | habitat quantity and quality. Loss of                               |  |   |  |
|    |                                   | restoration actions in the southern Delta                  | floodplain rearing habitat.   |  |   |  |
| 31 | Lower San Joaquin                 |  | Loss associated with state and federal                              | Improve through-Delta                        |   |  |
|    | Habitat Actions                   |  | exports, Low flows limiting rearing                                 | growth and survival                          |   |  |
|    |                                   | 6. 9 15. 11. 9   | habitat quantity and quality. Loss of                               |  |   |  |
| 32 | Lauran Cam Jaanssin               | Sturgeon Bend Floodplain Restoration                       | floodplain rearing habitat.  Loss associated with state and federal | Improve through-Delta                        |   |  |
| 32 | Lower San Joaquin Habitat Actions |  | exports, Low flows limiting rearing                                 | Improve through-Delta<br>growth and survival |   |  |
|    | nabitat Actions                   | Durham Ferry State Recreation Area floodplain              | habitat quantity and quality. Loss of                               | grown and survivar                           |   |  |
| 1  |                                   | restoration  | floodplain rearing habitat.   |  |   |  |
| 33 | Lower San Joaquin                 |  | Loss associated with state and federal                              | Improve through-Delta                        |   |  |
|    | Habitat Actions                   |  | exports, Low flows limiting rearing                                 | growth and survival                          |   |  |
|    |                                   | Head of Old River Scour Hole. Fill scour hole and restore  | mabitat quantity and quality. Loss of                               |  |   |  |
| L. |                                   | adjacent floodplain habitat                                | floodplain rearing habitat.   |  |   |  |
| 34 | SRKW Prey Base                    | Continue Butte City barge experiment for at least x        | Reduction in prey availability for SRKW                             | Increase prey base for<br>SRKW               |   |  |
|    | Improvement                       | years, and report results                                  | <u> </u>  |  |   |  |
| 35 | SRKW Prey Base                    |  | Reduction in prey availability for SRKW                             | Increase prey base for                       |   |  |
|    | Improvement                       | Delta performance objectives to bound effects to 2009      |   | SRKW   |   |  |
|    |                                   | Biop. (Redundant action listed here for SRKW clarity)      |   |  |   |  |
| 36 | SRKW Prey Base                    | Fund/participate in CA portion of prey base evaluation     | Reduction in prey availability for SRKW                             |  |   |  |
| 1  | Improvement                       | being conducted by NWFSC                                   |   |  |   |  |
| 37 | SRKW Prey Base                    |  | Reduction in prey availability for SRKW                             |  |   |  |
|    | Improvement                       | Interim Hatchery Management Action: Commitment to          |   |  |   |  |
| 1  |                                   | fund at least 25% constant fractional marking of Chinook   |   |  |   |  |
|    |                                   | salmon at Nimbus and Feather River Fish Hatcheries         |   |  |   |  |
|    |                                   | Dannon at minute and readilet river rish rightlienes       |   | 1  |   |  |

| ST   |  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
|------|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| 01   |  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| ST   |  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
|      |  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| -    |  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| ST   |  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| ST   |  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 01   |  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| ST   |  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
|      |  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| ST   |  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| SRKW |  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
|      |  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| SRKW |  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| SRKW |  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
|      |  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| SRKW |  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 5.4  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |

|    | Collaborative Planning  |   | Habitat Restoration Offsets  |  |                  |   | Ongoing consultation |
|----|-------------------------|---|--|--|------------------|---|----------------------|
|    | Implementation Strategy | Develop Collaborative Planning Implementation Strategy    |  |  |                  |   | discussion           |
|    |                         | with 1,5, 10 year priorities, objectives and actions, and |  |  |                  |   |                      |
|    |                         | funding and implementation strategy. Needs to address     |  |  |                  |   |                      |
|    |                         | the full scope of Collaborative Planning Actions from the |  |  |                  |   |                      |
|    |                         | PA (restoration, fish screens, Tier 4 intervention, etc   |  |  |                  |   |                      |
| 39 | Collaborative Planning  | NMFS/Reclamation, FWS annual meeting on CVPIA             | Habitat Restoration Offsets  |  |                  |   |                      |
|    | Implementation Strategy | funded actions and cross-walk to effects in this opinion; |  |  |                  |   |                      |
|    |                         | discuss how to address gaps, and sponsor projects as      |  |  |                  |   |                      |
|    |                         | needed.   |  |  |                  |   |                      |
| 40 |                         | Complete Drought Plan purusant to ongoing discussions     | Egg, fry and smolt mortality   |  |                  |   |                      |
|    |                         | at Tiger Team and Director meetings                       |  |  |                  |   |                      |
|    | Feather River and       |   | Effects of seasonal operations   |  |                  |   | Links DWR/FERC       |
|    |                         | DWR shall form and lead FROG to integrate Feather         |  |  |                  |   | BiOp to CVP BiOp     |
|    |                         | River Operations with other operations in this biop       |  |  |                  |   |                      |
| 40 | 0.1                     |   | It to a catalota.  | 10-1   | 1                | ı | ı                    |
| 42 | Science Support         |   | Uncertainty  | Science support to test<br>spring pulse flow |                  |   |                      |
|    |                         |   |  | hypotheses and track                         |                  |   |                      |
|    |                         | Fund science actions such as marking and                  |  | emgration timing and                         |                  |   |                      |
|    |                         | tagging/survival studies for Battle Creek Reintroduction  |  | survival of fish. Validate                   |                  |   |                      |
|    |                         | and Spring pulse flow actions                             |  | actions                                      | A0 '''' /        |   |                      |
| 43 |                         | Fund science, model development and monitoring;           | Uncertainty  | Validate actions                             | \$2 million/year |   |                      |
|    |                         | experimental design (with validation monitoring) for      |  |  |                  |   |                      |
|    |                         | spring pulse flows and Anderson approach prior to         |  |  |                  |   |                      |
|    |                         | operations  | land and a first a |  |                  |   |                      |
|    | Science Support and     |   | Implementation and validation monitoring to support multiple action  |  |                  |   |                      |
|    |                         | Need to identify and reach agreement on necessary         | components   |  |                  |   |                      |
|    |                         | science and monitoring needs for operations               | •  |  |                  |   |                      |
|    |                         | Clarify NMFS role in technical assistance/coordination    | Uncertainty  |  |                  |   |                      |
|    |                         | and participation on tech teams. Coordination cannot      |  |  |                  |   |                      |
|    |                         | be used ambigously so we need to define and clarify       |  |  |                  |   |                      |
| 46 |                         | Solidify terms and conditions offered by Reclamation in   | Multiple stressors addressed   |  |                  |   |                      |
|    | Terms and Conditions    | last 2 weeks. Need to parse out what are changes to the   |  |  |                  |   |                      |
|    |                         | PA vs T&Cs  |  |  |                  |   |                      |

| WR, SR, ST, SRKW     |   |   |   |   |   |  |  |  |
|----------------------|---|---|---|---|---|--|--|--|
| WIT, OIT, OIT, OITW  |   |   |   |   |   |  |  |  |
|                      |   |   |   |   |   |  |  |  |
| WR, SR, ST, SRKW     |   |   |   |   |   |  |  |  |
|                      |   |   |   |   |   |  |  |  |
| WR, SR, ST, GS, SRKW |   |   |   |   |   |  |  |  |
|                      |   |   |   |   |   |  |  |  |
| WR, SR, ST, GS, SRKW |   |   |   |   |   |  |  |  |
|                      | 1 | I | I | I | I |  |  |  |
|                      |   |   |   |   |   |  |  |  |
|                      |   |   |   |   |   |  |  |  |
| WR, SR               |   |   |   |   |   |  |  |  |
| m, or                |   |   |   |   |   |  |  |  |
|                      |   |   |   |   |   |  |  |  |
| WR, SR               |   |   |   |   |   |  |  |  |
| ,                    |   |   |   |   |   |  |  |  |
| IMP OD OT OO ODION   |   |   |   |   |   |  |  |  |
| WR, SR, ST, GS, SRKW |   |   |   |   |   |  |  |  |
|                      |   |   |   |   |   |  |  |  |
| l                    |   |   |   |   |   |  |  |  |
| WR, SR, ST, GS, SRKW |   |   |   |   |   |  |  |  |
|                      |   |   |   |   |   |  |  |  |
| SR, SR, ST           |   |   |   |   |   |  |  |  |

Cell: C5
Comment: This seems to be covered in row 8. Remove 7? +howard.brown@noaa.gov
-Brian Ellrott - NOAA Federal
\_\_Marked as resolved\_\_
-Brian Ellrott - NOAA Federal
\_Re-opened\_\_
You there Howard?
-Brian Ellrott - NOAA Federal