

Questions on Feather Division elements of the 2019 ROConLTO BA

1. (*Medium priority*) Is it correct that Feather River operations are modeled the same in the COS and PA? ***Resolution during call:***
2. (*Medium priority*) Is it correct that modeled Feather River operations are based on the BiOp associated with the current license, and thus not the most recent BiOp? ***Resolution during call:***

Questions on American Division elements of the 2019 ROConLTO BA

3. (*High priority*) Can Reclamation send us the "2017 Flow Management Standard"? ***Resolution during call:***
4. (*High priority*) Is it correct that Reclamation is committing to all elements of the "2017 Flow Management Standard" except for the carryover storage targets? ***Resolution during call:***
5. (*High priority*) How was the "planning minimum" incorporated in the CALSIM modeling? ***Resolution during call:***
6. (*High priority*) If Reclamation commits to a "planning minimum" rather than the storage targets in the "2017 Flow Management Standard", how does that change the feasibility of the flow/temperature schedules in the "2017 Flow Management Standard"? ***Resolution during call:***
7. Are the fish agencies excluded from flow management process? If so, why? The BA discusses flow planning among water interests and Reclamation, but the fish agencies seem to be excluded from the process. ***Resolution during call:***
8. Is Reclamation proposing anything within the 2009 RPA (e.g. structural improvements, ramping protocols to reduce stranding)? If so, what are they?
9. Is it correct that the Nimbus Hatchery and associated RPA actions from 2009 BO (including a steelhead HGMP and fall-run hatchery management plan) are not assumed in the baseline and not included in the proposed action? ***Resolution during call:***