| From:    | Garwin Yip - NOAA Federal <garwin.yip@noaa.gov></garwin.yip@noaa.gov> |
|----------|---|
| Sent:    | Thursday, September 21, 2017 2:43 PM                                  |
| То:      | Michelle Havey; Jeff Rieker   |
| Subject: | Quick notes from Shasta RPA amendment workshop 3.5                    |

## Draft Proposed Shasta RPA Workshop

YES: Reclamation: Jeff Rieker, Federico, Dave Mooney NMFS: Maria, Evan, Eric Danner, me PCWA MBK **SLDMWA** WAPA **SWRCB** SWC Natomas Mutual Westlands Redding Electric DWR NCPA **SMUD** Contra Costa WD **USFWS** NCWA City of Redding NRDC **CDFW** GCID Friant **Exchange** Contractors San Juan WD James ID TCCA

NO: Sutter Mutual Santa Clara Water District BLM Trinity PUD Hoopa RD 108 ACID

Status update on Sacramento River summer temperature management: -- Based on hydrology, opportunity to conduct a management study different than the RPA but in the proposed draft RPA amendment, that is, 53 degrees DAT at CCR

-- at workshop #3, relatively cool air temperatures, but going into a temperature spike

-- Since then, excellent summer temp management

-- One of the hottest, if not the hottest, summer on record

-- 5 temperature swings in July

-- 52.5-53 degrees, 1 day at 53.1 degrees

-- How does that correlate with 55 degrees 7DADM? Well below in July

-- August--Still above average, but lower. 1 day above 53 DAT, 2 days greater than 55 max

-- No side gate access yet

-- Temperature management has been highly successful as far as intention

Status update on computer modeling and analysis of draft proposed RPA amendment (Jeff)

-- Workshop #3: modeling results

-- Brief summary is minimum spring volumes, limited Keswick release schedule, minimum EOS volumes

-- Summary of water cost

-- Working on refinements to the model, working on tweaks to reflect realworld scenarios

-- Modeling identified impact distribution

-- Sensitivity analysis runs, incorporating TUCP measures

-- General ballpark of impacts disclosed during Workshop #3 has not changed much

-- Next step is to link CalSim and HEC-5Q temperature models to the biological mortality models, and to see if biological objectives can be met ++ Jeff Sutton (TCCA): Any of the modeling available? Jeff: Not yet. Need to QA/QC. Results will be provided at Workshop #4.

Status Update on Science Work Plan

-- Dave walked through the first 4 slides of the draft PPT that was circulated between Reclamation and NMFS

++ Steven Handy (City of Redding): Discussion of consequences of any operation on water and power?

== Dave: the Science Plan is focused on science. Results will be taken and translated into action on operations, then will look at consequences of those actions.

++ Frances Brewster: How will the info be synthesized and inform adaptive management?

== Dave: Will include synthesis, reporting, and closing adaptive management loop.

++ Steven Handy: Will there be a discussion on methods to measure success? How will we know if these measures are working or bringing a benefit? Should be included.

== Maria: Appreciates comment, we need to do that.

## Maria:

-- Need to appropriately separate science and management so that science informs management.

-- Management questions help drive the science needed to inform management -- Bins of management questions: Shasta management and operations, forecasting, species viability and variability, climate, interactions between multiple stressors, and structural modifications or adjustments ++ Paul Olmstead (SMUD): Objectives (e.g., numbers in the river), what triggers?

== Maria: Goes back to our proposal. Will present and explain in Workshop #4

++ Sheila Greene (Westlands): Focus is on temperature, concluded that mechanism for mortality is DO. Sheila recommends focus on DO metrics.

== Eric: Misnomer, issue is not the result of ambient DO in the river, but DO.

== Maria: We have a management question associated with this. ++ Sheila Greene (Westlands): Focus is on water temperatures and egg development. Trade off with slower growth, so that the fry emerge smaller. Would like a metric at RBDD, because there may be fewer, but more fit, individuals.

== Eric: Temporal exposure needs to be considered depending on when in the incubation period the temperatures change.

Submitted of management questions within 2 weeks Dave and Maria offered to meet with individuals or groups

Sent from my iPad