
From: Barbara Byrne - NOAA Federal <barbara.byrne@noaa.gov>
Sent: Thursday, March 7, 2019 2:14 PM
To: Cathy Marcinkevage - NOAA Federal
Cc: Garwin Yip; Naseem.Alston
Subject: Re: Critical Habitat for ROC

I'm good with the outline as is for "Effects to Species".

Would be interested in everyone's thoughts re: the current list of stressors in the "Effects to CH" section. I'm not against using that list but wonder if is more consistent to stick with the RP stressors lists and just organize the outline by Species/PBF and discuss relevant stressors under that level.

Re: making CH analysis happen, my sense is that Division teams know they are in charge of CH, but maybe that's not true...

On Thu, Mar 7, 2019 at 12:34 PM Cathy Marcinkevage - NOAA Federal <cathy.marcinkevage@noaa.gov> wrote:

We did not discuss CH at all before today, and I realize that we don't have a CH lead (we did for CWF). It may be wise to address this with the division leads as they may see it as yet another task plunked onto them. But we need to execute it somehow.

Also, take a look at the outline to see the CH structure and we should discuss any changes soon.

Susan should get started soon in creating templates for each section. Are there any anticipated changes to the outline, at least to the 4-digit level (e.g., 2.5.2.1)?

--

Barb Byrne
Fish Biologist
NOAA Fisheries West Coast Region
U.S. Department of Commerce
Office: 916-930-5612
barbara.byrne@noaa.gov
California Central Valley Office
650 Capitol Mall, Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814



Find us online

www.westcoast.fisheries.noaa.gov

