

---

**From:** Garwin Yip - NOAA Federal <garwin.yip@noaa.gov>  
**Sent:** Friday, April 26, 2019 8:17 AM  
**To:** Dan Lawson  
**Cc:** j.stuart@noaa.gov; Barbara Byrne  
**Subject:** Re: Update on SRKW reviews

Dan,

Jeff will be reviewing the SRKW analysis...eventually. Stay tuned.

-Garwin-

---

*Garwin Yip*  
Water Operations and Delta Consultations Branch Chief  
NOAA Fisheries West Coast Region  
U.S. Department of Commerce  
California Central Valley Office  
650 Capitol Mall, Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814  
Office: 916-930-3611  
Cell: 916-716-6558  
FAX: 916-930-3629  
[www.westcoast.fisheries.noaa.gov](http://www.westcoast.fisheries.noaa.gov)



----- Forwarded message -----

**From:** Dan Lawson - NOAA Federal <[dan.lawson@noaa.gov](mailto:dan.lawson@noaa.gov)>  
**Date:** Fri, Apr 26, 2019 at 8:05 AM  
**Subject:** Re: Update on SRKW reviews  
**To:** Barbara Byrne - NOAA Federal <[barbara.byrne@noaa.gov](mailto:barbara.byrne@noaa.gov)>  
**Cc:** Garwin Yip - NOAA Federal <[garwin.yip@noaa.gov](mailto:garwin.yip@noaa.gov)>

Thank you for the heads-up. I'll be standing by to hear from someone, although I will probably go back in and at least work through comments you had in the interim. I did plop the ITS language that I have drafted in the ITS document on the ROC drive, but it's generally awaiting some discussion of exactly how we can/should measure the extent of Chinook take as a proxy for SRKW take.

Dan

On Thu, Apr 25, 2019 at 12:51 PM Barbara Byrne - NOAA Federal <[barbara.byrne@noaa.gov](mailto:barbara.byrne@noaa.gov)> wrote:

Dan -- FYI, the SRKW Effects and I&S sections have been reassigned so I can focus on responding to edits on my Stanislaus/San Joaquin River effects section (and you don't just getting bumped down my list). Not sure what the current ETA is for further feedback on those sections.

I have flagged to Garwin that the reviewer should keep an eye out for potential "legacy language" related to elements of the '09 project description from the in-progress I&S sections you had available.

I have also mentioned that, eventually, we will need to update both John's and your docs to reflect the assumed restoration acreage (and associated Chinook production) once we have a final determination on acreage in the PA. My tentative understanding is that both the Sacramento and American River acreages are in the baseline, and that just 10-15 acres is assumed reasonably certain to occur in the PA for the Stanislaus, which (assuming 56 adults/acre)=560-840 Chinook.

Cheers,  
Barb

--

**Barb Byrne**

Fish Biologist

*NOAA Fisheries West Coast Region*

*U.S. Department of Commerce*

Office: 916-930-5612

[barbara.byrne@noaa.gov](mailto:barbara.byrne@noaa.gov)

*California Central Valley Office*

*650 Capitol Mall, Suite 5-100*

*Sacramento, CA 95814*



**Find us online**

[www.westcoast.fisheries.noaa.gov](http://www.westcoast.fisheries.noaa.gov)



--

Dan Lawson

NMFS Protected Resources Division

West Coast Region

7600 Sand Point Way NE, Bldg 1

Seattle WA 98115

206-526-4740