
From: Cathy Marcinkevage - NOAA Federal <cathy.marcinkevage@noaa.gov>
Sent: Friday, April 26, 2019 3:57 PM
To: Garwin Yip; Maria Rea
Cc: Howard Brown
Subject: FOR REVIEW: Section 2.5 and 2.6 Shasta Division Effects Analysis

Garwin, for your review when this gets into your queue; Maria, for your review as you like, and I can pull key points for you for Monday if you want.

My head hurts.

There are still some loose ends but the revised version with tracks is attached and on the ROC drive.

S:\Draft BiOp\2_ESA\2.5-2.6 Effects of the Action\Shasta Division\Sacramento River\2.5 and 2.6 Upper Sac Effects V9.docx

Some notes:

-It is super useful to view the navigation pane on, and showing headings. That allows you to see the structure and also to navigate from one section to another. I highly recommend this and will show you how.

-Blue highlights and blue comments are for references and formatting. You can ignore them.

-Yellow highlights in text or comments indicate outstanding items.

-All of Maria's comments are still in black, but I muted several others if I thought that they were addressed.

-I feel like I addressed all of the big issues save one: p. 31 with tracks OFF is a highlighted comment from Maria related to "explicitly referring to drought and importance of base supplies. Here is where you should put in some base vs contract supply delivery analysis...." I hit my knowledge limit on this particular item and could use help on it.

-I did incorporate some 2014/2015 items, but am happy to take more if you can offer it. I've flagged where I could use some figures (Evan can help with this).

-I kind of ran out of steam towards the end but also wasn't sure how to talk through the "programmatic" items. I'm fine if you write in there what you want to see.

-I've also attached a document showing just the headers, which I think is useful to see the structure. I move a LOT around to get to a different structure.

My head hurts. Did I say that?

Hopefully this is closer....

Cathy

