

---

**From:** Cathy Marcinkevage - NOAA Federal <cathy.marcinkevage@noaa.gov>  
**Sent:** Monday, May 6, 2019 1:21 AM  
**To:** Garwin Yip; Howard Brown  
**Cc:** Boring, Susan  
**Subject:** Shasta Effects V11

Hey all ---

I'm not happy with where this is but 2.5 hrs in, this is it and I need to sleep. It is attached and on the server.

I think it is fine to go to review for now. The parking lot has in it:

- Incorporate some specific items that I asked Evan to provide last week, which he did. I think those are finer examples from 2015, or single line effects, that shouldn't ruffle feathers for now. But they will take some time to include.

- Focus on the end. I (and it looks like Garwin) run out of steam at the end and there are loose ends.

- Cross check with tables in I&S to update. Definitely need to do that.

- Some clarification on NOD contracts. That's a Maria thing (unless one of you can provide it for me).

I'm confident that these can be included before the 5/20 peer review delivery and do not change determinations or effects.

Garwin, note that I built off of what Rosalie sent us Saturday. So I addressed some of her comments that were easy, but let others in. Generally, only "outstanding" comments (and references) are NOT muted. Not sure how to handle this for her continued review (if you accept all, she won't be able to see the changes made in response to her early comments).

Sorry my bar is low today.  
Cathy