
From: Garwin Yip - NOAA Federal <garwin.yip@noaa.gov>
Sent: Monday, May 13, 2019 12:12 PM
To: Barbara Byrne
Subject: ROC on LTO team meeting

ROC on LTO team meeting:

Division effects analyses: Uncertainties should come with assumptions, and conclusions associated with them would then be “definitive” with the “assumption” that the assumptions are carried forward. That way, they show up as part of the effects analyses, and also carried forward into the I&S tables and sections.

Delta Division needs effects tables

I&S tables moved to effects section: Low priority

References: anyone who has time AND is in the office

Peer review: Hard deadline on Sunday

Programmatic: every PA component should be site specific or programmatic. If not enough info to even analyze, identify beneficial or adverse.

1 Introduction: DONE

1.1 Background: DONE

1.2 COA: DONE

1.3 Key consultation considerations: ADDRESSING ROSALIE’S COMMENTS

1.4 Consultation history: DONE

1.5 Proposed Federal Action: DONE

2.1 Analytical approach: DONE?

2.2 Status of the species and CH: DONE

2.3 Action Area: DONE

2.4 Environmental Baseline: DONE?

2.5 & 2.6 Effects of the Action on species and CH

Stressor introductions: ADDRESSING ROSALIE'S COMMENTS

American River Division: DONE

Clear Creek: SENT BACK TO ROSALIE FOR CLEARANCE

Shasta Division: IN PROCESS. SENT TO RECLAMATION FOR REVIEW

East Side Division: DONE

Delta Division: IN PROCESS. SENT TO RECLAMATION FOR REVIEW

SRKW and Chinook analysis: ADDRESSING COMMENTS

Climate change: EMBEDDED WITHIN AA

Life cycle model results: ADDRESSING ROSALIE'S COMMENTS

Benefits of ongoing restoration to include in multiple sections: DONE?

2.7 Cumulative effects: DONE

2.8: Integration and Synthesis

Winter-run Chinook salmon: WORK IN PROGRESS

CV spring-run Chinook salmon: WORK IN PROGRESS

CCV steelhead: WORK IN PROGRESS

sDPS green sturgeon: WORK IN PROGRESS

Sent from my iPad