
From: Garwin Yip - NOAA Federal <garwin.yip@noaa.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, May 15, 2019 5:26 PM
To: Kristin Begun
Cc: Cathy Marcinkevage; Brown, Howard; Barbara Byrne; Susan Boring; Naseem Alston
Subject: Re: ROC on LTO: East Side Division team

Kristin,

I know you're working on incorporating Erin's comments. What's your ETA to finish? Have you looked through all of her comments, or are you methodically working from page 1 to the end? Either way, if you focus on key comments (e.g., any comments associated with the SJRRP, de minimus effects, spring-running fish), what's your ETA to finish?

We're getting pressure to cut loose additional effects sections for Reclamation to review. The section doesn't have to be perfect, but good enough.

Thanks.

Sent from my iPad

> On May 13, 2019, at 8:50 PM, Erin Strange - NOAA Federal <erin.strange@noaa.gov> wrote:

>

> Attached are my comments. Let me know if you have any questions or
> need clarification.

>

> Erin

>

> On Thu, May 9, 2019 at 9:50 PM Garwin Yip - NOAA Federal <
> garwin.yip@noaa.gov> wrote:

>

>> Erin,

>>

>> Here's my formal request for you to review the ROC on LTO East Side

>> Division effects analysis:

>> -- The file is attached, as I suspect that you don't have access to

>> the CVP ROCON drive.

>> -- The San Joaquin River section is on page 50-73, and would include

>> the species and critical habitat analyses.

>> -- If you have time, I would appreciate your review of the Stanislaus

>> River analysis as well.

>> -- Your review and comments should be through the lens of effects of

>> the proposed action components on CV spring-run Chinook salmon, and

>> especially in the context of the de minimus requirement in the settlement agreement.

>> In addition, if we have the benefit of your review on the Stanislaus

>> River effects, to review our characterization of CV spring-run

>> Chinook salmon, or "spring-running fish" and effects of the proposed action elements on them.

>> -- Comments back (in track changes) by COB Tuesday, May 14, would be

>> great, but if not possible, we could discuss and see what's workable.

>>

>> Thanks.
>>
>> -Garwin-
>> * _____ *
>> *Garwin Yip*
>> Water Operations and Delta Consultations Branch Chief NOAA Fisheries
>> West Coast Region U.S. Department of Commerce California Central
>> Valley Office
>> 650 Capitol Mall, Suite 5-100
>> Sacramento, CA 95814
>> Office: 916-930-3611
>> Cell: 916-716-6558
>> FAX: 916-930-3629
>> www.westcoast.fisheries.noaa.gov <<http://www.nmfs.noaa.gov>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> On Thu, May 9, 2019 at 11:00 AM Erin Strange - NOAA Federal <
>> erin.strange@noaa.gov> wrote:
>>
>>> Hi Garwin - If this is a formal request for my time, I will need
>>> more specifics so that I can appropriately reorder my priorities.
>>>
>>> Specifics like:
>>> 1) which sections or parts of sections should I review and with what
>>> eye,
>>> 2) what version do I work from and where does it live,
>>> 3) how much time should I devote to this (i.e. I know very little
>>> about the details of the proposed project),
>>> 4) when are my comments due
>>>
>>> Thanks - Erin
>>>
>>> On Wed, May 8, 2019 at 11:20 AM Garwin Yip - NOAA Federal <
>>> garwin.yip@noaa.gov> wrote:
>>>
>>>> It'd also be very helpful if Erin could review the San Joaquin
>>>> section, specifically, and the entire East Side Division effects
>>>> analysis, in general.
>>>>
>>>> -Garwin-
>>>> * _____ *
>>>> *Garwin Yip*
>>>> Water Operations and Delta Consultations Branch Chief NOAA
>>>> Fisheries West Coast Region U.S. Department of Commerce California
>>>> Central Valley Office
>>>> 650 Capitol Mall, Suite 5-100
>>>> Sacramento, CA 95814
>>>> Office: 916-930-3611

>>>> Cell: 916-716-6558
>>>> FAX: 916-930-3629
>>>> www.westcoast.fisheries.noaa.gov <<http://www.nmfs.noaa.gov>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> On Wed, May 8, 2019 at 9:27 AM Kristin Begun - NOAA Affiliate <
>>>> kristin.begun@noaa.gov> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>> Hi Garwin,
>>>>>
>>>>> Thanks for mentioning that. I wasn't sure which document to work
>>>>> off of. I will incorporate Rosalie's comments/edits into the
>>>>> V8_KMB3-GY version, and will let you know when the clean version is on the server.
>>>>>
>>>>> Thanks,
>>>>> Kristin
>>>>>
>>>>> On Wed, May 8, 2019 at 9:04 AM Garwin Yip - NOAA Federal <
>>>>> garwin.yip@noaa.gov> wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>> Kristin,
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> As you know, Barb is tied up with higher priority assignments, at
>>>>>>> least through the end of this week.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> As mentioned last night, we received comments back from Rosalie
>>>>>>> on the East Side Division effects section, which you already
>>>>>>> placed in the ROCON drive.
>>>>>>> -- The "Date modified" field indicates that the "2.5 and 2.6 East
>>>>>>> Side Effects V8_KMB3-GY" is more current than "2.5 and 2.6 East
>>>>>>> Side Effects V8--to reviewers-do not change." Whichever is the
>>>>>>> most current, please use that version as the base/your master,
>>>>>>> rename it, then incorporate Rosalie's track changes from the file
>>>>>>> "2.5 and 2.6 East Side Division Effects--to reviewers.rd" into it.
>>>>>>> -- Please address all outstanding comments, and Rosalie's track
>>>>>>> changes, so in the end, we will have a clean document with only
>>>>>>> comment bubbles for references.
>>>>>>> -- Note that I will be sending out task e-mails individually, and
>>>>>>> you may have overlapping tasks. If you need help with workload or
>>>>>>> priorities, Howard, Cathy, and/or I will be available to help.
>>>>>>> -- Please see Barb's response, below, regarding contaminants.
>>>>>>> -- PA-NMI scenario: We don't have time to debate the rationale
>>>>>>> for in or out, and it's not worth the effort to remove the
>>>>>>> scenario from the effects section, but please make sure that the
>>>>>>> text explains/clarifies the need for it.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> I don't expect Barb to work on this (please don't), but be
>>>>>>>> available to Kristin if questions arise.
>>>>>>>>

>>>>> Thanks!

>>>>>

>>>>> -Garwin-

>>>>> * _____ *

>>>>> *Garwin Yip*

>>>>> Water Operations and Delta Consultations Branch Chief NOAA

>>>>> Fisheries West Coast Region U.S. Department of Commerce

>>>>> California Central Valley Office

>>>>> 650 Capitol Mall, Suite 5-100

>>>>> Sacramento, CA 95814

>>>>> Office: 916-930-3611

>>>>> Cell: 916-716-6558

>>>>> FAX: 916-930-3629

>>>>> www.westcoast.fisheries.noaa.gov <<http://www.nmfs.noaa.gov>>

>>>>>

>>>>>

>>>>>

>>>>>

>>>>>

>>>>> On Tue, May 7, 2019 at 5:18 PM Barbara Byrne - NOAA Federal <

>>>>> barbara.byrne@noaa.gov> wrote:

>>>>>

>>>>>> *Contaminants:* I did delete contaminants from the table of

>>>>>> stressors, but think I forgot to list it as N/A (think now --

>>>>>> rather than

>>>>>> N/A) in the introductory table of Recovery Plan stressors, so

>>>>>> should be changed there. I never had a writeup associated with,

>>>>>> so no narrative to delete.

>>>>>>

>>>>>> *PA-NMI. * If we delete PA-NMI, it causes problems with the

>>>>>> entire yeartype distribution analysis because the current

>>>>>> write-up hinges on that scenario. I don't believe it is

>>>>>> irrelevant to understand the individual PA components; in fact

>>>>>> we were directed to do so. Because of the interaction between

>>>>>> the flow schedules and the yeartype method on the Stan, we can

>>>>>> NOT evaluate those separate PA components without the "bridging" PA-NMI scenario.

>>>>>>

>>>>>> I am open to keeping in some general conclusions and moving the

>>>>>> full analysis to an appendix, or to my memo to the record (but

>>>>>> not sure that works since readers of the BiOp won't know what

>>>>>> I've done), but that takes time we don't have to shift it around and explain it in a new place.

>>>>>>

>>>>>> How is this different from Evan noting that temperature

>>>>>> improvements are from better storage, not a better temp

>>>>>> management method? The situation seems very analogous, and I

>>>>>> think part of our evaluation is to understand from which PA component effects are coming from.

>>>>>>

>>>>>>

>>>>>>

>>>>>> On Tue, May 7, 2019 at 4:57 PM Garwin Yip - NOAA Federal <

>>>>>> garwin.yip@noaa.gov> wrote:

>>>>>>

>>>>>>> Kristin,
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Can you take on addressing the remaining comments in the East
>>>>>>> Side Division? Barb will be tied up at least through the
>>>>>>> remainder of the week.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Within the comments, 2 are on my mind:
>>>>>>> — contaminants: delete that subsection/analysis, double check
>>>>>>> the environmental baseline section to make sure ag in addressed.
>>>>>>> — PA-NMI scenario: Barb has a response to my comment about why
>>>>>>> that scenario is in the analysis. Seems to me that we need to
>>>>>>> analyze the effects of the action, not try to figure out
>>>>>>> whether PA minus COS, or
>>>>>>> 60-20-20 minus/vs. NMI is the cause of the adverse effects. I
>>>>>>> suggest deleting that/those sections.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Sent from my iPhone
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> --
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> *Barb Byrne*
>>>>>>> Fish Biologist
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> *NOAA Fisheries West Coast Region U.S. Department of Commerce Office:
>>>>>>> 916-930-5612*
>>>>>>> barbara.byrne <barbara.byrne@noaa.gov> *@noaa.gov
>>>>>>> <first.last@noaa.gov> *
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> *California Central Valley Office 650 Capitol Mall, Suite
>>>>>>> 5-100 Sacramento, CA 95814*
>>>>>>> * <<http://www.nmfs.noaa.gov>> *
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Find us online
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> www.westcoast.fisheries.noaa.gov
>>>>>>> *
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> --
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> *Erin Strange San Joaquin River Branch Chief* *California Central
>>>>>>> Valley Area Office* *NOAA Fisheries West Coast Region*
>>>>>>> *Office: 916-930-3653*
>>>>>>> *erin.strange@noaa.gov <erin.strange@noaa.gov> *
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>

>>>
>
> --
>
>
> *Erin StrangeSan Joaquin River Branch Chief**California Central Valley
> Area
> Office*
> *NOAA Fisheries West Coast Region*
> *Office: 916-930-3653*
> *erin.strange@noaa.gov <erin.strange@noaa.gov>*
> <2.5 and 2.6 East Side Effects V10 srb_els.docx>