
From: Maria Rea - NOAA Federal <maria.rea@noaa.gov>
Sent: Saturday, May 18, 2019 6:31 PM
To: Cathy Marcinkevage - NOAA Federal
Cc: Garwin Yip; Howard Brown; Evan Sawyer - NOAA Affiliate; Barbara Byrne
Subject: Re: Initial Review of DOI Shasta Effect Section Comments

Thank you, Cathy! This is super helpful. I read their comments this afternoon and agree with a lot of your thoughts/sorting. Have a great rest of your weekend.
- Maria

Sent from my iPad

> On May 18, 2019, at 5:23 PM, Cathy Marcinkevage - NOAA Federal <cathy.marcinkevage@noaa.gov> wrote:
>
> All --
>
> I've done a first pass review of the *second* markup of the Shasta
> Effects Analysis provided by Interior (that is, the one with 529
> comments and track changes). I've attached my review with some comment
> sorting. For many comments that I thought worth some time, I used a notation according to:
>
> Red text is comment on our analysis.
> Green highlight are accepted proposed changes or clarifications.
>
> Blue text regards current/past operations in attempt to clarify or
> provide more info. Will also be highlighted in green if deemed to be included.
>
> Yellow highlight it NMFS action requirement or flagged for deletion
> before this is distributed externally.
>
>
> I've also attached a sheet of notes or points to simply facilitate
> capturing some of their comments. There is *significant* in-text
> editing, and it often "reads" like a Reclamation document. It's some
> very heavy-handed editing at places. I've got bullets of points as
> well as some entertaining excerpts.
>
> Enjoy!
>
> Cathy
> <DOI_Shasta_Comments_NMFS_Notes.docx> <Upper Sac Comments
> Compiled_SOL Reclamation review 5.17.19_CM Triage.docx>