Based on CFR 402.14(c)

See Electronic CFR for Interagency cooperation for ESA at:

https://www.ecfr.gov/cgi-bin/text-idx?SID=92ff787ed81d57818af0ce3c1792e986&mc=true&node=pt50.11.402&rgn=div50.11.402argn=di

What additional information do we want, and and why? What question would it answer and how does that fit into our analysis? What will we assum

Description of the Proposed Action
--Is it clear what action is being proposed?
--Is the timing, frequency, magnitude, and duration of the proposed action described clearly enough to allow assessment of exposure/risk/response?

**BA Section** 

--If the answer to any of the above is "no", what details are missing?

Description of the specific area that may be affected by the proposed action
--Is any area NOT included in the action area that should be?

A description of any listed species or critical habitat that may be affected by the action.

--Are all relevant species, life-stages, and critical habitats included in the BA in all appropriate places?

--If the answer to any of the above is "no", what species or lifestages are missing?

A description of the manner in which the action may affect any listed species or critical habitat, and an analysis of any direct, indirect, or cumulative effects

--Are effects analyzed sufficiently for all species, lifestages, and stressors?

--Is the analysis of effects robust and logical?

--Does the analysis use the best available analytical methods (tools, models, etc.)?

--Is the analysis supported by the best available science (focus on literature)?

--Is the analysis supported by the best available science (focus on data)?

--Is the description detailed enough to support a quantification of incidental take?

-- If not, what relevant reports are missing?

Any other relevant studies or other information available on the action, the affected listed species, or critical habitat
--Are all relevant studies/data etc. included in the BA?

Based on CFR 402.14(c)

See Electronic CFR for Interagency cooperation for ESA at:

https://www.ecfr.gov/cgi-bin/text-idx?SID=92ff787ed81d57818af0ce3c1792e986&mc=true&node=pt50.11.402&rgn=div50.11.402argn=di

What additional information do we want, and and why? What question would it answer and how does that fit into our analysis? What will we assum

Description of the Proposed Action
--Is it clear what action is being proposed?
--Is the timing, frequency, magnitude, and duration of the proposed action described clearly enough to allow assessment of exposure/risk/response?

**BA Section** 

--If the answer to any of the above is "no", what details are missing?

Description of the specific area that may be affected by the proposed action
--Is any area NOT included in the action area that should be?

A description of any listed species or critical habitat that may be affected by the action.

--Are all relevant species, life-stages, and critical habitats included in the BA in all appropriate places?

--If the answer to any of the above is "no", what species or lifestages are missing?

A description of the manner in which the action may affect any listed species or critical habitat, and an analysis of any direct, indirect, or cumulative effects

--Are effects analyzed sufficiently for all species, lifestages, and stressors?

-- Is the analysis of effects robust and logical?

--Does the analysis use the best available analytical methods (tools, models, etc.)?

--Is the analysis supported by the best available science (focus on literature)?

--Is the analysis supported by the best available science (focus on data)?

--Is the description detailed enough to support a quantification of incidental take?

-- If not, what relevant reports are missing?

Any other relevant studies or other information available on the action, the affected listed species, or critical habitat
--Are all relevant studies/data etc. included in the BA?

Based on CFR 402.14(c)

See Electronic CFR for Interagency cooperation for ESA at:

https://www.ecfr.gov/cgi-bin/text-idx?SID=92ff787ed81d57818af0ce3c1792e986&ids. A substitution of the control of the control

What additional information do we want, and and why? What question would it ans

Description of the Proposed Action
--Is it clear what action is being proposed?
--Is the timing, frequency, magnitude, and duration of the proposed action described clearly enough to allow assessment of exposure/risk/response?

**BA Section** 

mc=true&node=pt50.11.402&rgn=div5 wer and how does that fit into our analysis? What will we assume absen	t the information and how will that offset our analysis?
wer and now does that it into our analysis? What will we assume absen	t the information, and now will that affect our analysis?
	A description of any listed species or critical habitat
Description of the specific area that may be affected by the	that may be affected by the action.
proposed actionIs any area NOT included in the action area that should be?	Are all relevant species, life-stages, and critical habitats included in the BA in all appropriate places?
If so, what area, and why?	
ii so, waa area, and way	If the answer to any of the above is "no", what species or lifestages are missing?

A description of the manner in which the action may affect any listed species or critical habitat, and an analysis of any direct, indirect, or cumulative effects --Are effects analyzed sufficiently for all species, lifestages, and stressors?

- --Is the analysis of effects robust and logical?
  --Does the analysis use the best available analytical methods (tools, models, etc.)?
  - --Is the analysis supported by the best available science (focus on literature)?
  - --Is the analysis supported by the best available science (focus on data)?
    - --Is the description detailed enough to support a quantification of incidental take?
- --If the answer to any of the above is "no", what details are missing?

Relevant reports, including any environmental impact statements, environmental assessments, biological assessments or other analysis prepared regarding the proposal

- -Are all relevant reports included in the BA?
- -- If not, what relevant reports are missing?

Any other relevant studies or other information available on the action, the affected listed species, or critical habitat
--Are all relevant studies/data etc. included in the BA?

Based on CFR 402.14(c)

See Electronic CFR for Interagency cooperation for ESA at:

https://www.ecfr.gov/cgi-bin/text-idx?SID=92ff787ed81d57818af0ce3c1792e986&mc=true&node=pt50.11.402&rgn=div50.11.402argn=di

What additional information do we want, and and why? What question would it answer and how does that fit into our analysis? What will we assum

Description of the Proposed Action
--Is it clear what action is being proposed?
--Is the timing, frequency, magnitude, and duration of the proposed action described clearly enough to allow assessment of exposure/risk/response?

**BA Section** 

--If the answer to any of the above is "no", what details are missing?

Description of the specific area that may be affected by the proposed action
--Is any area NOT included in the action area that should be?

A description of any listed species or critical habitat that may be affected by the action.

--Are all relevant species, life-stages, and critical habitats included in the BA in all appropriate places?

--If the answer to any of the above is "no", what species or lifestages are missing?

A description of the manner in which the action may affect any listed species or critical habitat, and an analysis of any direct, indirect, or cumulative effects

--Are effects analyzed sufficiently for all species, lifestages, and stressors?

-- Is the analysis of effects robust and logical?

--Does the analysis use the best available analytical methods (tools, models, etc.)?

--Is the analysis supported by the best available science (focus on literature)?

--Is the analysis supported by the best available science (focus on data)?

--Is the description detailed enough to support a quantification of incidental take?

-- If not, what relevant reports are missing?

Any other relevant studies or other information available on the action, the affected listed species, or critical habitat
--Are all relevant studies/data etc. included in the BA?

Based on CFR 402.14(c)

See Electronic CFR for Interagency cooperation for ESA at:

https://www.ecfr.gov/cgi-bin/text-idx?SID=92ff787ed81d57818af0ce3c1792e986&mc=true&node=pt50.11.402&rgn=div50.11.402argn=di

What additional information do we want, and and why? What question would it answer and how does that fit into our analysis? What will we assum

Description of the Proposed Action
--Is it clear what action is being proposed?
--Is the timing, frequency, magnitude, and duration of the proposed action described clearly enough to allow assessment of exposure/risk/response?

**BA Section** 

--If the answer to any of the above is "no", what details are missing?

Description of the specific area that may be affected by the proposed action
--Is any area NOT included in the action area that should be?

A description of any listed species or critical habitat that may be affected by the action.

--Are all relevant species, life-stages, and critical habitats included in the BA in all appropriate places?

--If the answer to any of the above is "no", what species or lifestages are missing?

A description of the manner in which the action may affect any listed species or critical habitat, and an analysis of any direct, indirect, or cumulative effects

--Are effects analyzed sufficiently for all species, lifestages, and stressors?

-- Is the analysis of effects robust and logical?

--Does the analysis use the best available analytical methods (tools, models, etc.)?

--Is the analysis supported by the best available science (focus on literature)?

--Is the analysis supported by the best available science (focus on data)?

--Is the description detailed enough to support a quantification of incidental take?

-- If not, what relevant reports are missing?

Any other relevant studies or other information available on the action, the affected listed species, or critical habitat
--Are all relevant studies/data etc. included in the BA?

Based on CFR 402.14(c)

See Electronic CFR for Interagency cooperation for ESA at:

https://www.ecfr.gov/cgi-bin/text-idx?SID=92ff787ed81d57818af0ce3c1792e986&mc=true&node=pt50.11.402&rgn=div50.11.402argn=di

What additional information do we want, and and why? What question would it answer and how does that fit into our analysis? What will we assum

Description of the Proposed Action
--Is it clear what action is being proposed?
--Is the timing, frequency, magnitude, and duration of the proposed action described clearly enough to allow assessment of exposure/risk/response?

**BA Section** 

--If the answer to any of the above is "no", what details are missing?

Description of the specific area that may be affected by the proposed action
--Is any area NOT included in the action area that should be?

A description of any listed species or critical habitat that may be affected by the action.

--Are all relevant species, life-stages, and critical habitats included in the BA in all appropriate places?

--If the answer to any of the above is "no", what species or lifestages are missing?

A description of the manner in which the action may affect any listed species or critical habitat, and an analysis of any direct, indirect, or cumulative effects

--Are effects analyzed sufficiently for all species, lifestages, and stressors?

-- Is the analysis of effects robust and logical?

--Does the analysis use the best available analytical methods (tools, models, etc.)?

--Is the analysis supported by the best available science (focus on literature)?

--Is the analysis supported by the best available science (focus on data)?

--Is the description detailed enough to support a quantification of incidental take?

-- If not, what relevant reports are missing?

Any other relevant studies or other information available on the action, the affected listed species, or critical habitat
--Are all relevant studies/data etc. included in the BA?

Based on CFR 402.14(c)

See Electronic CFR for Interagency cooperation for ESA at:

https://www.ecfr.gov/cgi-bin/text-idx?SID=92ff787ed81d57818af0ce3c1792e986&mc=true&node=pt50.11.402&rgn=div50.11.402argn=di

What additional information do we want, and and why? What question would it answer and how does that fit into our analysis? What will we assum

Description of the Proposed Action
--Is it clear what action is being proposed?
--Is the timing, frequency, magnitude, and duration of the proposed action described clearly enough to allow assessment of exposure/risk/response?

**BA Section** 

--If the answer to any of the above is "no", what details are missing?

Description of the specific area that may be affected by the proposed action
--Is any area NOT included in the action area that should be?

A description of any listed species or critical habitat that may be affected by the action.

--Are all relevant species, life-stages, and critical habitats included in the BA in all appropriate places?

--If the answer to any of the above is "no", what species or lifestages are missing?

A description of the manner in which the action may affect any listed species or critical habitat, and an analysis of any direct, indirect, or cumulative effects

--Are effects analyzed sufficiently for all species, lifestages, and stressors?

-- Is the analysis of effects robust and logical?

--Does the analysis use the best available analytical methods (tools, models, etc.)?

--Is the analysis supported by the best available science (focus on literature)?

--Is the analysis supported by the best available science (focus on data)?

--Is the description detailed enough to support a quantification of incidental take?

-- If not, what relevant reports are missing?

Any other relevant studies or other information available on the action, the affected listed species, or critical habitat
--Are all relevant studies/data etc. included in the BA?

Based on CFR 402.14(c)

See Electronic CFR for Interagency cooperation for ESA at:

https://www.ecfr.gov/cgi-bin/text-idx?SID=92ff787ed81d57818af0ce3c1792e986&mc=true&node=pt50.11.402&rgn=div50.11.402argn=di

What additional information do we want, and and why? What question would it answer and how does that fit into our analysis? What will we assume abse

**BA Section** 

Description of the Proposed Action
--Is it clear what action is being proposed?
--Is the timing, frequency, magnitude, and duration of the proposed action described clearly enough to allow assessment of exposure/risk/response?

--If the answer to any of the above is "no", what details are missing?

Description of the specific area that may be affected by the proposed action
--Is any area NOT included in the action area that should

be?

-- If so, what area, and why?

Rationale used to define "Coastal Extent" from Point Conception to Cape Falcon (and not further North) relies on Satterthwaite et. al. (2013), but that analysis is limited to 1.) the recrational fishing season "April - October 31, and 2.) locations where effort data was availible (i.e. they did not examine vessels/ports in Washington).

1.2 Action Area

Do we agree with the following conclusion of "no effect" for CCC Steelhead? "NMFS (2009, p.75) noted that DWR's Suisun Marsh Salinity Control Gates (SMSCG) in Montezuma Slough are located to the east of the three Suisun Marsh steelhead streams and Central California Coast Steelhead (CCC Steelhead) (Oncorhynchus mykiss) are unlikely to travel 10- 15 miles eastward through Montezuma Slough to the SMSCG. Therefore, NMFS (2009, p.75) concluded that it would be unlikely that CCC Steelhead will encounter the SMSCG or the Delta pumping facilities during their upstream and downstream migrations, because their spawning streams are located in the western portion of Suisun Marsh. Therefore, Reclamation concluded no effect to CCC

1.3.1 Species Considered but Not Addı Steelhead."
Possible "other" sections include
Adaptive Management
Cumulative Effects
Monitoring
Do we do a sufficiency review for MSA/EFH?

Suisun and San Pablo Bay

A description of any listed species or critical habitat that may be affected by the action.

--Are all relevant species, life-stages, and critical habitats included in the BA in all appropriate places?

--If the answer to any of the above is "no", what species or lifestages are missing?

A description of the manner in which the action may affect any listed species or critical habitat, and an analysis of any direct, indirect, or cumulative effects

--Are effects analyzed sufficiently for all species, lifestages, and stressors?

-- Is the analysis of effects robust and logical?

--Does the analysis use the best available analytical methods (tools, models, etc.)?

--Is the analysis supported by the best available science (focus on literature)?

--Is the analysis supported by the best available science (focus on data)?

--Is the description detailed enough to support a quantification of incidental take?

--If the answer to any of the above is "no", what details are missing?

CV fall run Chinook (SR Killer whale)



-- If not, what relevant reports are missing?

Any other relevant studies or other information available on the action, the affected listed species, or critical habitat
--Are all relevant studies/data etc. included in the BA?

--If not, what relevant studies/data etc. are missing?

Satterthwaite, W. H., M. S. Mohr, M. R. O'Farrell, and B. K. Wells. 2013. A comparison of temporal patterns in the ocean spatial distribution of California's Central Valley Chinook salmon runs. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences 70:574–584.