

---

**From:** Cathy Marcinkevage - NOAA Federal <cathy.marcinkevage@noaa.gov>  
**Sent:** Monday, February 25, 2019 3:55 PM  
**To:** John Ferguson  
**Cc:** Garwin Yip; Tancy Mill; Matt Nobriga  
**Subject:** Re: BO review questions

Hi John --

We have a ping in to DSP to get contact info for people. In the meantime, know that Maria has identified top picks in no order as: Goodwin, Dahm, Monson, Rose, Smith, Hankin, and Skalski. She also suggested Denise Reid, who was not originally on our list.

And, regarding items 5 and 6, those changes are fine.

Thanks!  
Cathy

On Sun, Feb 24, 2019 at 1:56 PM Cathy Marcinkevage - NOAA Federal <[cathy.marcinkevage@noaa.gov](mailto:cathy.marcinkevage@noaa.gov)> wrote:

Hi John --

I've got some responses in bold below. More to come.

On Fri, Feb 22, 2019 at 11:15 AM John Ferguson <[jferguson@anchorqea.com](mailto:jferguson@anchorqea.com)> wrote:

Hello Cathy: We are working on the BO review and have these questions:

1. We are building a spreadsheet with contact information and a standardized email to send to each reviewer. However, for many all we have is their name, not their affiliation. Many we know, but many we don't, and there would be a number of Pete Smith's for example when we Google him. We're having one of our project assistant's look up contact information and look at past reports for contact information and affiliations, but if NMFS can provide information on any of the following that would be helpful: **I have a few below, but I'll ask DSP and other folks if they can provide others.**

- Nancy Monsen, Civil/Environmental Engineering Consultant (CWF Peer Review, LOBO Review Panel)
- John Van Sickle, Environmental Statistician Consultant (CWF Peer Review, LOBO Review Panel)  
**Oregon State I believe.**
- Richard Marston (2009 OCAP CIE Review)
- Ian Fleming (2009 OCAP CIE Review)

- Ken Rose (2009 OCAP Review) **Looks like he recently moved: [krrose@umces.edu](mailto:krrose@umces.edu)**
- Pete Smith (2009 OCAP Review)
- Phil Duffy (2009 OCAP Review)
- Paul Bratovich, HDR [Paul.Bratovich@hdrinc.com](mailto:Paul.Bratovich@hdrinc.com)
- James A. Gore (LOBO Review Panel) [I would not list this as a big concern, but Gore LOBO 2018 review panel did not display a very good understanding of the Martin model]
- Ron T. Kneib (LOBO Review Panel)
- Geoff Schladow (LOBO Review Panel)
- John Nestler (LOBO Review Panel)
- Jamie Gibson (2015 WRLCM CIE Review)
- David Hankin (2015 WRLCM CIE Review) [David.Hankin@humboldt.edu](mailto:David.Hankin@humboldt.edu)

And for smelt: Bruce Herbold (unaffiliated consultant)

2. Are there any folks from the salmon list that you would like to see do the review? If we knew that we could do some arm twisting perhaps. Hopefully we'll contact everyone and then have a list of 10 or more to work with you on. **I'll talk with Garwin and others about this on Monday and get back to you.**
3. Do you have an idea on what proportion you want to be salmon- versus smelt-oriented? 5:1, 4:2? We've budgeted for 6 but we'll see what their rates are. **I think that we should do 3:3 if we can, and go down from there. Matt, is there a minimum number of people you/USFWS wants/requires for the smelt review?**
4. Have the dates for the 2-week review changed? We'll use those dates in our standardized email, but we understand things are fluid so if we know they've changed we'll want to update the dates we use. **To my knowledge, the dates have not changed and are still a good starting point.**
5. Has anyone spoken with Steve Edmundson about the proposed budget I sent you for work completion through September? That budget eliminated the CHTR manuscript and I was wondering if he is aware of that. **I don't know that anyone has, but I'll bring it up at our Monday management team meeting.**
6. The budget I sent you noted that according to Noble, a natural break would be to move Task 4 (Report) off to FY20, which would free up \$7,265. I'd like to do that to build a little buffer back into our budget (we're awfully lean on the remaining tasks) for contingencies and to hopefully do a little work on the CHTR manuscript to finish a few things we've started. NMFS would have the data and results from the modeling, but not the final report. Thoughts? **I think this should be okay, but I'll bring it up at the Monday management team meeting.**

Thanks,

John

John Ferguson, Ph.D.

Principal Fisheries Scientist

ANCHOR QEA, LLC

[Jferguson@anchorqea.com](mailto:Jferguson@anchorqea.com)

1201 Third Ave, Suite 2600

Seattle, WA 98101

Phone (direct): 206.219.5895

Phone (receptionist): 206.287.9130

Cell: 206.437.7865

Fax: 206.287.9131

ANCHOR QEA, LLC

[www.anchorqea.com](http://www.anchorqea.com)

This electronic message transmission contains information that may be confidential and/or privileged work product prepared in anticipation of litigation. The information is intended for the use of the individual or entity named above. If you are not the intended recipient, please be aware that any disclosure, copying distribution or use of the contents of this information is prohibited. If you have received this electronic transmission in error, please notify us by telephone at (206) 287-9130.