
From: Cathy Marcinkevage - NOAA Federal <cathy.marcinkevage@noaa.gov>
Sent: Saturday, June 1, 2019 9:42 PM
To: Garwin Yip; Howard Brown
Subject: Re: Twelve Action Items from ROC Directors Meeting

More annotation

1. Recognition that there are studies beyond epa 2003 and rec would draft that and NMFS would incorporate in way we want and this goes to peer review too to get their take. (Rec action item, which they have provided as questions to panel)
2. Draft text about storage targets. Figure 3. Want this removed. (NMFS action item. Removed Figure 3. Will review text to be sure don't "stress" an EOS target. So this is a "throughout the doc" action item.)
3. Storage does increase when looking at what is actually managed for . Reclamation writing something about this, will be as standalone section tacked on to sections for peer review. (Rec action item. Haven't seen anything yet.)
4. "Conservative" term in table. (NMFS action item -- we discussed using worst case during internal call today.)
5. Loss of riparian habitat/morphology/habitat. (NMFS action item. Seems like not a big deal. But HB provided some tweaks I think and I need to look at.)
6. Stranding numbers. Rec provide ramping text, we look into 2006. (Rec and NMFS. I'm looking into 2006 and some options but haven't figured out yet what to do)
7. EPA 7DADM conversion. Reclamation to provide text. (Rec had a specific thing they wanted us to include to help explain limitations/caveats to using the DAT to 7DADM conversion)
8. Pre-dam baseline stuff. (Um.....I can't remember this one)
9. Discretion. Rec provide alternative text. (NMFS scrub of word "discretion" but Rec provide alternative so that we aren't assuming. They did often in latest edits.)
10. SRSC don't single out. (NMFS item. Maria and I worked on this some and I think addressed it as much as we can)
11. Broodstock production – remove. (NMFS item. Will do. Howard to write more general blurb instead.)
12. Building of storage in modeling and action needs to be reflected. (NMFS item. Since we are a little less doubtful of ability to build storage, maybe soften language that shows that doubt. This is a "throughout the doc" action.)

On Sat, Jun 1, 2019 at 9:23 PM Cathy Marcinkevage - NOAA Federal <cathy.marcinkevage@noaa.gov> wrote:
I'll send more annotation later.

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Cathy Marcinkevage - NOAA Federal <cathy.marcinkevage@noaa.gov>
Date: Fri, May 31, 2019 at 10:08 AM
Subject: Twelve Action Items from ROC Directors Meeting
To: Howard Brown <howard.brown@noaa.gov>, Maria Rea <Maria.Rea@noaa.gov>

1. Recognition that there are studies beyond epa 2003 and rec would draft that and NMFS would incorporate in way we want and this goes to peer review too to get their take.
2. Draft text about storage targets. Figure 3. Want this removed.
3. 3% storage. Reclamation writing something about this, will be as standalone section tacked on to sections for peer review.
4. "Conservative" term in table.
5. Loss of riparian habitat/morphology/habitat.
6. Stranding numbers. Rec provide ramping text, we look into 2006.
7. EPA 7DADM conversion. Reclamation to provide text.
8. Pre-dam baseline stuff.
9. Discretion. Rec provide alternative text.
10. SRSC don't single out.
11. Broodstock production – remove.
12. Building of storage in modeling and action needs to be reflected.