From: Garwin Yip - NOAA Federal <garwin.yip@noaa.gov>

Sent: Friday, June 7, 2019 9:26 PM

To: Garwin Yip

Subject: PWA public meeting for the ROC BiOp

Questions, comments, and observations during the draft BiOp meeting:

Explain relative importance of things like Yolo influence for winter-run in April and May, for example, only a few fish, so how would that benefit the population? Relative importance being not much, in the I&S section.

Life cycle model: Is 3% meaningful? Kinda the same between the PA and COS, indicated more positive than negative, but it's assumption upon assumption starting with CalSimII.

Folks getting lost in the comparison of the PA vs. COS and how we're analyzing effects.

Page 41 of Shasta effects section: "In meetings during May 2019, Reclamation confirmed verbally that further preferential drawing from Oroville Reservoir on a regular basis beyond that outlined in the 2018 COA would be unlikely, although such an action (along with other actions) may be considered in extreme dry periods under a drought contingency plan." DWR has issue with mentioning use of Oroville.

State Water Contractors want in on the development of performance measures, long with DWR.

Performance measures in the Delta: How do we deal with the situation when populations increase?

Concern about not using ePTM or Perry, but rather Newman: ePTM has issues, Perry not ready (not available).

-Garwin-

Garwin Yip

Water Operations and Delta Consultations Branch Chief NOAA Fisheries West Coast Region U.S. Department of Commerce California Central Valley Office 650 Capitol Mall, Suite 5-100

Sacramento, CA 95814 Office: 916-930-3611 Cell: 916-716-6558 FAX: 916-930-3629

www.westcoast.fisheries.noaa.gov

